MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE PERMIT
MAJOR AMENDMENT

PART III-ATTACHMENT E
Geology Report



Julie Morelli
9/15/2023

NAME OF PROJECT: Beck Landfill

MSW PERMIT APPLICATION NO.: 1848A

OWNER: Nido, LTD (CN603075011)

OPERATOR: Beck Landfill (RN102310968)

CITY, COUNTY: Schertz, Guadalupe County

Major Amendment: September 2022

Prepared by:

PROJECT NUMBER: 150051.05.01
PROJECT CONTACT: Julie Morelli
EMAIL: Julie.Morelli@powereng.com
PHONE: 210-951-6424

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLES:

FIGURES:

APPENDICES:

1.0 GEOLOGY REPORT (§330.63(e))

This portion of the application applies to owners or operators of MSW landfills, compost units, and if otherwise requested by the executive director. The geology report has been prepared and signed by a qualified groundwater scientist. The previously prepared permit documents relating to Geology, Aquifers, Groundwater, etc. are included as Appendices to this Report for continuity with prior permitting actions, as noted below.

- *Appendix E-1 Letter to TCEQ from January 27, 1999*
- *Appendix E-2 – Snowden, 1989, Attachment 3C – Water Wells*
- *Appendix E-3 – Cross Sections*

1.1 Regional Geology (§330.63(e)(1))

The regional geology described herein includes from the ground surface to the base of the lowermost aquifer capable of providing usable groundwater within Guadalupe County, Texas. Those regional formations and structural features of significance to the Beck Landfill site are discussed below. **Figure E-1** shows the surface geology of the subject area of Guadalupe County and adjoining counties and mapped fault lines of the Balcones Fault Zone. The Balcones Fault Zone has been inactive for nearly 15 million years (Cretaceous) and is considered a very low risk for earthquake hazard by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA).

Figure E-2 is a generalized stratigraphic column of the region that indicates the geologic age, range of thickness, formation lithology and water supply usage. Quaternary, Tertiary and Cretaceous System formations outcrop within the region of review. These formations are mainly comprised of sand, sandstone, gravel, clay, mudstone, shale, and marl. The stratigraphic sequence of formations that outcrop in the review region from the land surface to the base of the lowermost aquifer capable of providing usable groundwater is shown on the generalized stratigraphic column on **Figure E-2**.

As indicated on the stratigraphic column, the youngest formation that outcrops in the area is the Holocene Series alluvium consisting of clay, silt, sand, and gravel deposited in the floodplain along major stream channels in the southern portion of the subject region. The Holocene Series alluvium is documented to be as much as 25 feet in thickness. The Holocene alluvium lies unconformably over the older Pleistocene Series Leona Formation, and Tertiary and Cretaceous series formations where Leona Formation beds have been eroded away.

Two Pleistocene Series formations outcrop within the mapped region. From youngest to oldest these are the fluviatile terrace deposits and Leona Formation. The fluviatile terrace deposits in the region of review are comprised of sand, silt, clay, and some gravel that were laid down as point bars, oxbows and abandoned channel fill. These fluviatile terrace deposits generally occupy a positioned above the Holocene floodplains of entrenched streams and may obtain a thickness of up to 30 feet based on a review of State Water Well Reports for wells drilled in Guadalupe County. The Pleistocene Series terrace unconformably overlie the older Pleistocene Series Leona Formation, where not eroded away, or Tertiary and Cretaceous system formations where the Leona was removed by erosion.

The Leona Formation of the review region consist of gravel, sand, silt, and caliche deposited as wide fluviatile terraces. The gravel and sand beds of the Leona are stratified and partly cross bedded with lenses of caliche and silt. The Leona is believed to obtain a maximum thickness of about 60 feet. The Leona Formation rests unconformably on top of Tertiary and Cretaceous system formations.

The youngest of the Tertiary System formations that outcrops within the review region is the Pliocene Series Uvalde Gravel; the deposition of which may have also occurred during the early Pleistocene. This formation is comprised of caliche-cemented gravel, cobbles, and some small boulders. Uvalde Gravel sediments were deposited as terraces and occupies topographically high areas that are not associated with present-day drainage. The thickness of this formation ranges from several feet to about 20 feet plus or minus. In the review region, the Uvalde Gravel unconformably overlies Tertiary and Cretaceous system formations.

Eocene and Paleocene series formations of the Tertiary System outcrop at the southeastern portion of the review region. These formations from youngest to oldest are:

- The Eocene Series Wilcox Group; and,
- The Paleocene Series Midway Group.

Both groups outcrop in the southeastern portion of the review region.

Within the review region, the Wilcox Group outcrops as a wide belt trending from the northeastward to the southwest. The Wilcox strata consists mostly of mudstone with some silt and very fine sand laminae. Variable amounts of sandstone and lignite also occur within the Wilcox Group. The sediments that comprise the Wilcox Group were deposited in palustrine and fluvial environments. The maximum thickness of this group is around 1,420 feet. The Wilcox Group grades vertically into the Midway Group resulting in a conformable contact.

The sediments that make up the Midway Group were deposited in coastal and marine environments. This group is predominately comprised of clay and silt with some lenses of sand and limestone. The Midway Group is about 500 feet thick and unconformably overlies the undivided Cretaceous System Navarro Group and Marlbrook Marl.

Gulf and Comanche series formations of the Cretaceous System outcrop throughout the majority of the review region. These formations from youngest to oldest are:

- Gulf Series
    - Navarro Group and Marlbrook Marl (upper Taylor Group) undivided
    - Pecan Gap Chalk (Lower Taylor Group)
    - Austin Chalk
    - Eagle Ford Group
    - Del Rio Clay
- Comanche Series
    - Buda Limestone
    - Del Rio Clay
    - Edwards Limestone undivided

The Navarro Group and Marlbrook Marl undivided outcrops through the middle of the review region. The lithology of this undivided assemblage of formations includes marl, clay, sandstone, and siltstone. The sandstone beds are discontinuous and of limited lateral extent. This undivided assemblage is thought to be deposited in a shallow water, marginal marine environment. The Navarro-Marlbrook Marl is up to 580 feet in thickness and may rest conformably upon the Pecan Gap Chalk. This undivided assemblage of formations is unconformably overlain by Holocene and Pleistocene series formations at the Beck Landfill site and is the formation into which the landfill excavation will terminate.

The Pecan Gap Chalk outcrops in the northwestern portion of the review region, within the Balcones Fault Zone. This formation is composed of chalk and chalky marl deposited in shallow shelf, shoreface and transgressive marine environments. The Pecan Gap ranges from 100 feet to 400 feet in thickness and unconformably overlies the Austin Chalk.

The Austin Chalk further northwest of Beck Landfill site in a highly faulted area of the Balcones Fault Zone. The lithology of this formation includes chalk and marl with localized occurrences of bentonitic seams. The Austin carbonates accumulated in a low-energy shallow to open – shelf and shoal environment. The Austin Chalk thickness ranges from 350 feet to 580 feet and unconformably overlies the Eagle Ford Group.

The oldest formation of the Gulf Series is the Eagle Ford Group which is also referred to as the Eagle Ford Shale. Outcroppings of the Eagle Ford Group are limited to the highly faulted portion of the Balcones Fault Zone in the northwestern area of the review region. The Eagle Ford lithology includes shale, siltstone and flaggy limestone deposited as deltaic and marine sediment. The Eagle Ford Group contact with the underlying Buda Limestone is unconformable and is 30 feet to 75 feet thick.

The Buda Limestone is the upper formation of the Comanche Series. As with the Austin Chalk and Eagle Ford Group, outcroppings of Buda Limestone are mostly restricted to the highly faulted portion of the Balcones Fault Zone within the northwestern limits of the review region. Sediments for this limestone formation were deposited in an open-shelf marine environment. The formation lithology is fine grained poorly bedded to nodular limestone that becomes argillaceous near its upper contact. The contact between the Buda Limestone and the Del Rio Clay is unconformable. The thickness of the Buda strata ranges from 60 feet to 100 feet within the review region.

Outcroppings of the Del Rio Clay, formally called the Grayson Formation, are restricted to the highly faulted area of the Balcones Fault Zone within the northwestern portion of the review region. The depositional environment for Del Rio sediments were lagoonal and nearshore shallow marine. Calcareous and gypsiferous clay with some thin lenticular beds of calcareous siltstone make up the Del Rio lithology. The thickness of this formation ranges from 60 feet to 120 feet. The Del Rio Clay conformably overlies the undivided Edwards Group.

The undivided Edwards Group outcrops in the far northwestern portion of the review region and is within the northwestern extent of the Balcones Fault Zone. The lithology of this undivided formation consists of fine to coarse grained massive limestone with abundant chert and solution zones deposited in a shallow water marine environment. The undivided Edwards Group ranges from 300 feet to 500 feet.

1.2 Local Geological Processes (§330.63(e)(2))

30 TAC 330.559 defines an unstable area as a location that is susceptible to natural or human-induced events or forces capable of impairing the integrity of some or all landfill structural components responsible for preventing releases from the landfill. Unstable areas can include poor foundation

conditions, areas susceptible to mass movement, and karst terrains. The Beck Landfill was excavated through alluvial materials (sand and gravel) to the undivided Navarro Group and Marlbrook Marl, which consist of clay and shale material (impermeable). Evidence of active detrimental on-site geologic activity has not been documented within the landfill area. No on-site or local human-made features or events were observed to have created unstable conditions.

The Balcones Fault Zone is a system of normal faults that traverses the review region from the northeast to the southwest. This fault zone is associated with the Paleozoic-age Ouachita Fold Belt, a remnant of an ancient highly eroded mountain range which is buried beneath the Balcones Fault Zone. Movement along the Balcones faults took place mainly during the Miocene Epoch. Data contained within the USGS Quaternary Fault and Fold Database indicates that no Holocene displacement of faults within the Balcones Fault Zone has occurred. The Beck Landfill (shown with a star) is not located within the Balcones Fault Zone as shown in the image below.



FIGURE ABOVE DEPICTS THE BALCONES FAULT ZONE AND THE LOCATION OF THE BECK LANDFILL (STAR) LOCATED TO THE NORTH.

The Ouachita Fold Belt caused regional tilting and uplifting of Paleozoic rocks that underlie the review region. Pre-Cretaceous erosion of the uplifted Paleozoic rocks created a southeast dipping regional erosional surface or unconformity upon which Cretaceous System sediments were deposited. This regional unconformity and extensive faulting are the most significant structural features affecting the Cretaceous System and Paleocene Series formations within the review region. The Ouachita Fold Belt

regional unconformity affected the deposition of both Cretaceous and Tertiary system sediments bringing about the creation of wedge-shaped formation bodies that thicken southeastward towards the Gulf Coast. **Figure E-3** is a simplified down-the-coast oriented regional stratigraphic cross-section through central Guadalupe County which illustrates the geometry and dip of the review region formations. The Beck Landfill and adjacent areas is documented to be devoid of Holocene displacement along those faults of the Balcones Fault Zone or active land surface subsidence and does not appear to meet the definition of an "unstable area". **Figure E-4** shows the landfill location in relation to areas of known Holocene fault displacement. **Figures E-*8* and E-9** show the landfill location relative to the seismic risk, which is "very low" according to the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) National Risk Index for earthquakes.

1.3 Regional Aquifers (§330.63(e)(3))

Four aquifers are utilized for water supplies within the review region. The four aquifers that outcrop and/or subcrop the review region are: the Carrizo – Wilcox, Edwards, Austin, and the Leona aquifers. The Carrizo – Wilcox and Edwards aquifers are classified by the Texas Water Development Board (TWDB) as major aquifers, with the Leona and Austin being classified as "other" by the TWDB. No aquifers classified as minor outcrop or subcrop the review region. A map depicting the location of the Beck Landfill relative to the Carrizo – Wilcox, zones of the Edwards, Austin and Leona aquifers is provided as Figure E-5. Those geologic formations and groups associated with the above referred aquifers and the rock/sediment makeup of each aquifer are listed from youngest to oldest in geologic age in Table 1 below.

TABLE 1 REGIONAL AQUIFERS

Aquifer Name	Associated Geologic Formation or Group	Rock/Sediment Makeup
Leona	Leona Formation	Gravel and sand with lenses of caliche and silt
Carrizo – Wilcox	Wilcox Group within the Review Region	Mostly mudstone with some silt and very fine sand laminae and variable amounts of sandstone and lignite
Austin	Austin Chalk	Chalk and marl
Edwards	Edwards and Associated Limestones	Fine to coarse grained massive limestone with abundant chert and solution zones

Of these four aquifers, the Leona, Austin, and Edwards either outcrop near the Beck Landfill site boundary or underlie it. The Carrizo – Wilcox outcrops approximately 7.75 miles southeast of the landfill site and it highly unlikely to be affected by landfill activities. Therefore, no further discussion regarding the Carrizo – Wilcox follows this text. Figure E-5 shows the outcrop areas of the above referenced aquifers in relation to the landfill location.

As shown in T**able** 1 above, the Leona Aquifer is comprised of gravel and sand with lenses of caliche and silt. Hydraulic properties data for the Leona Aquifer within the review region and Guadalupe County appears to be nonexistent in readily available State groundwater reports. However, data pertaining to the range of the average hydraulic conductivity for the Leona Aquifer in neighboring Caldwell County was obtained. According to the source, the average Leona hydraulic conductivity ranged from 37 feet/day to 397 feet/day. Yields for water well producing from the Leona range from 1 gallon/minute (gpm) to 500 gpm are reported on State Water Well Reports obtained from the TWDB for wells producing for the Leona Aquifer and State groundwater reports.

The Leona Aquifer is under water table conditions. Recharge to this aquifer occurs where precipitation infiltrates Leona strata that outcrops within the review region. Additional recharge may also be received from streams entrenched in the Leona outcrop area during flood events. The Leona may provide some recharge to the Carrizo Willcox where Leona strata directly rest upon the Wilcox Group outcrop area in the southeastern corner of the review region. Recharge from the Leona to the Austin Aquifer is impeded by two aquitards that separate the Leona and Austin. These two aquicludes are the Cretaceous Series Pecan Gap Chalk and undivided Navarro Group and Marlbrook Marl, which underlie the Leona at the Beck Landfill site.

Maps showing the regional Leona water table surface were not identified during a review of readily available regional hydrogeologic literature. Being unconfined and assuming the absence of pumping well interference, the Leona water table surface most likely mimics the land surface topography flowing in the direction of lower topographical elevations and entrenched stream channels. Historical water table elevation measurements taken at the Beck Landfill site during groundwater monitoring events indicate groundwater flow in the Leona is towards Cibolo Creek supporting the regional flow direction conclusion. Regional rates of groundwater flow through the Leona Aquifer were not found in the reviewed readily available regional hydrogeologic literature. Using the range of average Leona hydraulic conductivities presented earlier, an estimated effective porosity of 0.25 for sand and gravel and an assumed hydraulic gradient of 0.003feet/foot (based on Beck Landfill historical water table elevation measurements), the estimated groundwater flow rate would range from 0.44 feet/day to 4.8 feet/day.

A review of State Water Well Reports for those water wells producing from the Leona Aquifer within the review region showed total dissolved solids (TDS) concentrations to be less than 500 mg/L. Historical groundwater monitoring data for the Beck Landfill shows TDS concentrations ranged from 502 mg/L to 3460 mg/L (see **Part III, Attachment F, Appendix F-2**). These TDS concentrations indicate that groundwater in the Leona Aquifer can be categorized as fresh to moderately saline. Groundwater withdrawn from the Leona Aquifer is utilized for public supply, domestic, irrigation and livestock purposes.

The Austin Aquifer is comprised of chalk and marl, which outcrop west and northwest of the Beck Landfill site within the Balcones Fault zone. These outcrop areas are highly faulted and of limited extent in the review region. Recharge to the Austin Aquifer occurs by direct infiltration of precipitation on its outcrop area and by limited seepage from streams that cross the outcrop areas. The Austin is most likely under water table conditions in its outcrop area but goes to a confined (artesian) condition southeast (downdip) of its outcrop areas where it is overlain by the Pecan Gap Chalk and undivided Navarro Group and Marlbrook Marl strata that form aquitards hydraulically separating it from the overlying Leona Aquifer. The Austin is underlain by strata belonging to the Eagle Ford Group, Buda Limestone and Del Rio Clay which form aquitards that separate it from the deeper Edwards Aquifer.

Maps showing the Austin Chalk regional water table surface and potentiometric surface, where confined, were not included in the reviewed, readily available regional hydrogeologic literature. However, the regional hydrogeologic literature reviewed did state that the predominate direction of groundwater flow within the Austin Aquifer is southeastward toward the Gulf Coast. The regional hydrogeologic literature also pointed out that localized variations in flow direction occur due to fault barriers or withdrawals of groundwater by pumping water wells. Where groundwater movement comes under the influence of pumping water wells, groundwater flow is towards the wells from all directions.

Hydraulic properties data for the Austin Aquifer within the review region was not found in readily available State groundwater reports or other hydrogeologic literature. However, data regarding well yield for water well producing from the Austin Aquifer were obtained from State Water Well Reports and one TWDB groundwater report. According to these sources, well yields range from 2 gpm to 60 gpm.

Data pertaining to TDS concentrations in groundwater withdrawn from the Austin Aquifer were obtained from State Water Well Reports for water wells producing from the Austin within the review region and reviewed TWDB groundwater reports. According to this data, TDS concentrations in Austin Aquifer groundwater range from 385 mg/L to 1,528 mg/L. These TDS concentrations indicate that groundwater in the Austin Aquifer mostly fresh but can be moderately saline at some locations. Groundwater withdrawn from the Austin is used for public supply, domestic and livestock purposes.

As pervious stated, the Edwards Aquifer is classified by the TWDB as a major aquifer and located northwest of the Beck Landfill site. This major aquifer is comprised of fine to coarse grained massive limestone with abundant chert and solution zones. The Edwards outcrops northwest of the Beck Landfill site within the Balcones Fault zone. Recharge to the Edwards Aquifer occurs by direct infiltration of precipitation on its outcrop area and some seepage from streams that cross its outcrop area. The Edwards is under water table conditions in its outcrop area but becomes confined southeast of it outcrop area being overlain by strata of the Eagle Ford Group, Buda Limestone and Del Rio Clay which form aquitards that hydraulically separate it from the overlying Austin Aquifer.

The Leona Aquifer and associated Leona Formation consists of several isolated alluvial deposits at the edge of the Edwards Plateau. It is mapped as existing beneath the Beck Landfill (see **Figure** E-**5**). This alluvium aquifer is recharged by infiltration of precipitation and is discharged by numerous springs and seeps. The saturated thickness is rarely greater than ten feet. The saturated zone varies seasonally. Groundwater flow and hydraulic conductivity is influenced by the heterogeneous nature of the alluvium deposit. The arithmetic mean of hydraulic conductivity in vertical profiles ranges from 0.013 cm/sec to 0.14 cm/sec[1]. Elevated nitrate levels are common ranging from 4 parts per million to 70 parts per million. Due to activity at the landfill, the Leona Aquifer has been removed within the embankment of the Beck Landfill. No information on the potentiometric surface or specific hydraulic dynamics in Guadalupe County was identified. The Guadalupe County Groundwater Conservation District (GCGCD) studies, conserves, preserves, and protects the Carrizo and Wilcox Aquifers, but makes no mention of the Leona.

To demonstrate regional groundwater trends, Figure E-6 shows the regional water table surface and potentiometric surfaces of the Edwards Aquifer in July 1974, republished in 1986. No changes in regional groundwater flows since this time are known at the time of this application. As shown on this figure, the direction of groundwater flow within the unconfined portion of the Edwards is southeastward toward the Gulf Coast, then turning to the northeast upon transitioning to confined conditions. Where groundwater movement locally comes under the influence of pumping water wells, groundwater flow is towards the wells from all directions.

The hydraulic conductivity of the Edwards Aquifer is documented as ranging from 2 feet/day to 31 feet/day, with transmissivities ranging from "negligible" to 2 million feet^2/day. Well yield for water well producing from the Edwards Aquifer within the review region range from 15 gpm to 160 gpm. The estimated rates of groundwater flow through the Edwards range from 2 feet/day to 31 feet/day.

TDS concentrations data for groundwater withdrawn from the Edwards Aquifer were taken from State Water Well Reports for water wells producing from the Edwards within the review region and reviewed TWDB groundwater reports. This data shows that TDS concentrations in Edwards Aquifer groundwater range from 247 mg/L to 8,249 mg/L. The distribution of these TDS concentrations across the review region show that Edwards groundwater at the northwestern half of the review region can be categorized as be fresh to slightly saline and moderately saline in the southern half of the review region. Groundwater withdrawn from the Edwards is used for public supply, domestic and livestock purposes.

[1] Hydrogeology of heterogeneous alluvium in the Leona aquifer, Caldwell County, Texas. Sharp, John Malcolm. May 2005.

A list of all water wells located within one mile of the Beck Landfill from which groundwater is withdrawn of use is provided in **Table 2** below. The locations of these water wells are shown of Figure E-7.

TABLE 2 WATER WELLS WITHIN ONE MILE OF THE BECK LANDFILL BOUNDARIES

TWDB Well Report Number	Location	Bore Depth (ft.)	Use	Aquifer Name
297428	29.531667°, -98.259445°	35	Domestic	Leona
297432	29.532222°, -98.257778°	34	Domestic	Leona
288275	29.53334°, -98.265834°	41	Domestic	Leona
268534	29.565556° -98.256111°	380	Domestic	Austin Chalk
6830603	29.558612°, -98.260001°	550	Irrigation	Edwards
6830605	29.567778°, -98.261667°	116	Domestic	Austin Chalk
6830606	29.565834°, -98.266944°	295	Domestic	Austin Chalk
6831702	29.535° -98.245278°	35	Public Supply	Leona
68306A	29.550161° -98.273573°	35	Domestic	Leona
68306C	29.550643° -98.268175°	390	Domestic	Edwards
68306D	29.550645° -98.268163°	75	Domestic	Leona
68314	29.555336° -98.264186°	55	Domestic	Leona
68317	29.536302° -98.247536°	33	Domestic	Leona

Sources: Texas Water Development Board (TWDB) Groundwater Data Viewer and Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ) Water Well Report Viewer, Accessed on April 19, 2021

1.4 Subsurface Conditions (§330.63(e)(4))

The original geotechnical analysis and supplemental borings drilled in 2020 are presented under **Part III**, **Attachment D5, Appendix D5-C**. Additional geotechnical information is provided in that attachment in support of this application. The information provided below synthesizes information submitted with the original application (Snowden, 1989) as relevant to this rule requirement, as supplemented by borings advanced in 2020.

Per Snowden (Subsurface Conditions, 1989), a series of borings, along a 400 foot grid layout within the confines of the project area was proposed to the Texas Department of Health (TDH). The TDH approved the investigative proposal with the understanding that some individual boring locations were subject to equipment accessibility and thus may be delated. Omission of boring could not however compromise the development of an adequate subsurface stratigraphic relationship.

A total of fifty-four (54) borings were advanced. Each of the proposed boring locations is indicated on the original boring plan, but only those designated by grid numbers were actually drilled. A continuous flight auger system, either of a solid or hollow stem type, was employed in the advancement of the borings. An updated cross-sectional analysis of this boring plan and boring lot set is provided as **Part III** of this Report. The locations and elevations are approximated based on best available information today. A Table is provided for references.

Representative samples of the subsurface sediments were obtained from selected borings. Undisturbed or Shelby tube samples were recovered to represent much of the clay-shale penetration as recorded on the accompanying logs. Auger samples were generally recovered to represent the stream deposited stratum. All samples were immediately sealed to preserve in-situ states and moisture conditions as near as possible.

The analysis of the soil samples was performed in a soils laboratory. Testing generally conformed to an appropriate A.S.T.M specification as per the soil property being determined. The values of permeability, each expressed as centimeters per second, were derived by a constant head method utilizing flexible wall permeameters. The recompacted samples were also tested by the same method. Permeability was determined for selected clay samples from six (6) widely spaced borings. The samples were chosen as to be representative of the entirety of the clay formation underlying the proposed site and/or to confirm the impermeable nature of the natural clay. Atterberg Limits were determined from un-tested portions of the permeability samples, in order to formulate a basis of comparison, with the plasticity indexes, as determined from other sampled borings. A comparison of this nature should support the suitability of the particular natural clay, as relevant to the proposed site usage. Sieve and Hydrometer analysis were not performed, as the majority of the laboratory investigation was concentrated on materials predominantly of clay minerals. Such clay materials would generally pass the #200 sieve.

The conclusions of the laboratory testing are given on the tables included in **Part III, Attachment D-5, Appendix D5-C**. The findings of the exploratory borings as depicted by the boring logs, along with the other aspects of the field accumulated datum, allowed an analysis of the subsurface conditions existing at the proposed site.

A supplemental geotechnical investigation was conducted by Terracon in the southeast portion of the landfill in September 2020 to revisit the findings of the original investigation. The investigation was conducted in accordance with 30 TAC §330.63(e)(4) and §330.63(e)(5). A total of eight borings were advanced in the approximately 12-acre area, consistent with the guidance of 6-10 borings in 30 TAC §330.63(e)(4)(B) for a study area of 10-20 acres. A boring plan detailing the proposed investigation was submitted by POWER Engineers, Inc. to the TCEQ Municipal Solid Waste Permits section on August 17, 2020. No changes to the proposed number and depth of the borings were requested due to site conditions in the proposed boring plan. No geophysical methods, such as electrical resistivity, were proposed for use as part of this study to reduce the number of required borings. The TCEQ received the boring plan for review on August 31, 2020, and issued an approval letter dated September 3, 2020. A copy of the approved boring plan and TCEQ approval letter are included with this submittal as **Part III, Attachment D5, Appendix D5-C.**

The Terracon Geotechnical Data Report indicates that borings were advanced with a truck-mounted drill rig utilizing continuous flight augers. Samples were obtained by Terracon continuously in the upper 10 ft. if each soil boring and at intervals of 5 ft. thereafter. A thin-wall tube or split-barrel tube was utilized. In the thin-walled tube sampling procedure, a thin-walled, seamless steel tube with a sharp cutting edge was pushed hydraulically into the soil to obtain a relatively undisturbed soil sample. In the split-barrel sampling procedure, a standard 2-inch outer diameter split-barrel sampling spoon was utilized by Terracon and driven into the ground by a 140-pound automatic hammer falling a distance of 30 inches.

The number of blows required to advance the sampling spoon the last 12 inches of a normal 18-inch penetration was recorded by Terracon as the Standard Penetration Test (SPT) resistance value. The SPT resistance values, also referred to as N-values, are indicated on the Terracon boring logs at the test depths. Terracon observed and recorded groundwater levels during drilling and sampling. Terracon backfilled all borings with bentonite chips after their completion.

Table 3 below summarizes the subsurface findings at each boring location. The Terracon Geotechnical Data Report with detailed information presented for each boring, including Unified Soil Classification System findings is included in Part III Attachment D-5. A discussion of the laboratory soil tests and findings by Terracon following boring activities is presented below. Cross-sections prepared from the findings are attached as **Appendix E-3** to this Report.

TABLE 3 SUMMARY OF SUBSURFACE SOIL FINDINGS

Boring No.	Generalized Soil Findings and Depths Below Ground Surface					
FB-1 (Terminated at 45 ft.)	0-4 ft. Fill -Fat Clay (CH)	4-13 ft. Fill-Fat Clay (Reworked Clay-Shale)	13-23 ft. Fill- Clayey Sand (SC)	23-33 ft. Clayey Gravel (GC)	33.0-38 ft. Lean Clay (CL)	38-45 ft. Clay-Shale
FB-2 (Terminated at 45 ft.)	0-3 ft. Fill-Fat Clay (CH)	3.0-13.0 ft. Fill- Fat Clay (Reworked Clay-Shale) (CH)	13.0-38.0 ft. Fat Clay (CH)	38.0-45.0 ft. Clay-Shale	N/A	N/A
FB-3 (Terminated at 50 ft.; Groundwater encountered at 38 ft.)	0-6 ft. Fill-Lean Clay (CL)	6-18 ft. Fill-Fat Clay (Reworked Clay-Shale) (CH)	18-20 ft. Lean Clay (CL)	20-35 ft. Clayey Gravel (GC)	35-43 ft. Fat Clay (CH)	43-50 ft. Clay-Shale
FB-4 (Terminated at 35 ft.)	0-35 ft. Clay-Shale	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
FB-5 (Terminated at 35 ft.)	0-35 ft. Clay-Shale	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
FB-6 (Terminated at 35 ft.)	0-35 ft. Clay-Shale	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
FB-7 (Terminated at 50 ft.; Groundwater Encountered at 9ft. and stabilized at 12 ft.)	0-4. ft. Fill - Lean Clay (CL)	4.0-14.0 ft. Fill – Clayey Gravel (GC)	14-50 ft. Clay-Shale	N/A	N/A	N/A
FB-8 (Terminated at 50 ft.)	0-18 ft. Fat Clay (CH)	18-50 ft. Clay-Shale	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

1.5 Geotechnical Data (§330.63(e)(5))

The original geotechnical analysis and supplemental borings are presented under **Part III, Attachment D-5**. Additional geotechnical information is provided in that attachment in support of this application. The information provided below synthesizes information submitted with the original application (Snowden, 1989) as relevant to this rule requirement, as supplemented by borings advanced in 2020.

The various soil layers identified in the soil borings were tested and evaluated to determine their index properties and there in situ undisturbed permeabilities. Clause 325.74 (b) (5) (I) (iii) of the TDH Municipal Solid Waste Regulations was used as a guide for these evaluations. This clause states as follows:

A laboratory report of soil characteristics shall be submitted consisting of a minimum of one sample from each soil layer that will form the bottom and sides of the proposed excavation. The design engineer should have as many additional tests performed as necessary to provide a typical profile of the soil stratifications within the site. No laboratory work need be performed on highly permeable soil layers which obviously will require lining. The soil samples shall be tested by a competent soils laboratory. The soil tests shall consist of the following:

1. *Permeability tests, to be performed according to one of the following standards on undisturbed soil samples. Where excavations already exist on the site that are to be used for waste disposal, undisturbed samples shall be taken from the sidewalls of those excavations and said permeability tests made on the horizontal axis. All test results shall indicate the type of test used and the orientation of each sample.*

   Constant Head—ABTM D 2434; or

   Falling Head—Appendix VII of the Corps of Engineers Manual EM 1110-2-1906, 30 Nov. 70, Laboratory Soils Testing.

2. *Sieve analysis and hydrometer analysis: No.4, No.10, No.40, No.200, —200, and hydrometer analysis on —200 fraction—ASTM D422.*

3. *Atterberg Limits—ASTM D 423 and D 424.*

4. *Moisture - Density Relations—ASTM D 69B.*

5. *Moisture Content—ASTM D 2216.*

All soils bounded within the following range of values shall be tested in a soils laboratory for the coefficient of permeability. Normally all soils below the range of values stated in this subclause are very sandy and will require lining, unless additional test data support a deviation. Those soils which exceed the range of values are high in clay and do not require additional testing to prove their adequacy for sanitary landfill purposes. The physical parameters stated are to be considered as guidelines for soil sample testing. Engineering judgement must be used on those samples which exhibit some but not all of the boundary limits stated.

Plasticity Index 15 to 25, Liquid Limit 30 to 50, Percent Passing 30 to 50, No.200 Mesh Sieve (-200)

The sandy clays exhibit Liquid Limits (LL) of 26 to 46 and Plasticity Indices (PI) of 11 to 30. This soil layer requires testing to determine the coefficient of permeability. Samples from the silty clays were

tested for permeability and were found to be well within required characteristic qualities when mixed with clays and bentonite as proposed as for use in the dike.

The clay and shale deposits exhibit Liquid Limits of 53 to 72 and Plasticity Indices of 37 to 52. This soil layer does not require additional permeability testing and is considered suitable for use as a natural liner.

The permeability test results from this project are presented in the Geotechnical Investigation Attachment 11 (Snowden, 1989 presented in **Part III, Attachment D-5**). It should be noted that soils with a high Plasticity Index may also exhibit substructures of seams or joints which may have an effect upon permeability. The gray shale beneath this project was not however observed to have significant permeable substructure. Based on our observations and the permeability test results, the Navarro & Taylor Deposits are expected to be suitable as natural liners provided that the slurry trench key is extended a minimum of five (5) feet into this shale.

The design as proposed for this project then will require the establishment of the soil bentonite slurry trench keyway to be excavated a minimum of 5 feet into the underlying shale, to insure against any substructure permeability and afford the greatest degree of integrity.

A supplemental Geotechnical Investigation was conducted by Terracon at the southeast portion of the Beck Landfill in September 2020. A general overview of the geotechnical data associated with the investigation is presented below. The full Terracon Geotechnical Data Report is attached as **Part III, Attachment D5, Appendix D5-C .**

1.5.1 Overview of Laboratory Investigation and Findings (330.63(e)(5)(A))

Samples collected by Terracon during the field exploration were taken to the laboratory for further observation by the Terracon project geotechnical engineer and were classified in accordance with the United Soil Classification System (USCS). The following laboratory test methods were conducted by Terracon on selected soil samples from this investigation:

- Moisture Content (ASTM D2216);
- Atterberg Limits (ASTM D4318);
- Gradation of Soils using Sieve Analysis (ASTM D422);
- Percent Passing No. 4 and No. 200 Mesh Sieves (ASTM D1140); and
- Permeability Tests (ASTM D5084).

A grain size analysis through the use of ASTM D422 and ASTM D1140 was conducted for each boring location, including that represent the side and bottom of the landfill. A summary of grain size analysis findings is presented in **Tables 4 to 11** below. Terracon runs all the sieves on the first portion of sample and then for the other two, they run the #4 and #200 screens, only. Any unreported percentages are larger than the #4 screen but are not listed as a size because they are not "graded". Further information on the grain size analysis is available in the Terracon Geotechnical Data Report. Cross sections are provided in **Part III, Section D-5**.

TABLE 4 SUMMARY OF BORING FB-1 GRAIN SIZE ANALYSIS (SIDE OF LANDFILL)

Boring Depth (ft. below ground surface)	% Cobbles	% Gravel	% Sand	% Silt	% Fines	% Clay	% No. 4 Sieve	% No. 200 Sieve
4-5	N/A	N/A	4.4	N/A	95.4	N/A	99.74	95.37
6-7	N/A	N/A	7.1	N/A	91.7	N/A	98.88	91.73
13.5-15	N/A	N/A	34.8	N/A	46.5	N/A	81.3	46.51
23.5-25	0.0	44.7	37.4	N/A	17.9	N/A	55.33	17.93

TABLE 5 SUMMARY OF BORING FB-2 GRAIN SIZE ANALYSIS (SIDE OF LANDFILL)

Boring Depth (ft. below ground surface)	% Cobbles	% Gravel	% Sand	% Silt	% Fines	% Clay	% No. 4 Sieve	% No. 200 Sieve
0-1.5	N/A	N/A	18.4	N/A	50.2	N/A	68.61	50.22
5-6	N/A	N/A	4.5	N/A	92.0	N/A	96.52	92.02
13-15	N/A	N/A	13.7	N/A	57.8	N/A	71.55	57.84
23.5-25	N/A	N/A	28.2	N/A	66.7	N/A	94.83	66.67
38-40	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	99.7	N/A	N/A	99.69

TABLE 6 SUMMARY OF BORING FB-3 GRAIN SIZE ANALYSIS (SIDE OF LANDFILL)

Boring Depth (ft. below ground surface)	% Cobbles	% Gravel	% Sand	% Silt	% Fines	% Clay	% No. 4 Sieve	% No. 200 Sieve
2-3	N/A	N/A	17.5	N/A	69.9	N/A	87.4	69.94
9-10	N/A	N/A	7.1	N/A	91.4	N/A	98.57	91.43
23.5-25	0.0	36.4	36.6	N/A	27.0	N/A	63.56	26.97

TABLE 7 SUMMARY OF BORING FB-4 GRAIN SIZE ANALYSIS (BOTTOM OF LANDFILL)

Boring Depth (ft. below ground surface)	% Cobbles	% Gravel	% Sand	% Silt	% Fines	% Clay	% No. 4 Sieve	% No. 200 Sieve
1-2	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	99.0	N/A	N/A	99.02
5-6	0.0	0.0	1.1	N/A	98.9	N/A	100.0	98.93
18.5-19.7	0.0	0.0	3.9	N/A	96.1	N/A	100.0	96.12

TABLE 8 SUMMARY OF BORING FB-5 GRAIN SIZE ANALYSIS (BOTTOM OF LANDFILL)

Boring Depth (ft. below ground surface)	% Cobbles	% Gravel	% Sand	% Silt	% Fines	% Clay	% No. 4 Sieve	% No. 200 Sieve
0-1.4	0.0	0.0	3.2	N/A	96.8	N/A	100.0	96.84
6.5-7	0.0	0.0	2.7	N/A	97.3	N/A	100.0	97.35
23.5-24.8	0.0	0.0	1.2	N/A	98.8	N/A	100.0	98.84

TABLE 9 SUMMARY OF BORING FB-6 GRAIN SIZE ANALYSIS (BOTTOM OF LANDFILL)

Boring Depth (ft. below ground surface)	% Cobbles	% Gravel	% Sand	% Silt	% Fines	% Clay	% No. 4 Sieve	% No. 200 Sieve
2-4	0.0	0.0	1.5	N/A	98.5	N/A	100.0	98.54
6-8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	98.0	N/A	N/A	98.01
18.5-19.5	N/A	N/A	1.1	N/A	98.2	N/A	99.31	98.23

TABLE 10 SUMMARY OF BORING FB-7 GRAIN SIZE ANALYSIS (BOTTOM OF LANDFILL)

Boring Depth (ft. below ground surface)	% Cobbles	% Gravel	% Sand	% Silt	% Fines	% Clay	% No. 4 Sieve	% No. 200 Sieve
4.5-6	N/A	N/A	28.6	N/A	17.8	N/A	46.47	17.82
8.5-10	N/A	N/A	20.1	N/A	38.9	N/A	58.97	38.89
18-20	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	95.7	N/A	N/A	95.74
38.5-39.8	0.0	0.0	2.0	N/A	98.0	N/A	100.0	97.97

TABLE 11 SUMMARY OF BORING FB-8 GRAIN SIZE ANALYSIS (BOTTOM OF LANDFILL)

Boring Depth (ft. below ground surface)	% Cobbles	% Gravel	% Sand	% Silt	% Fines	% Clay	% No. 4 Sieve	% No. 200 Sieve
6.5-8	N/A	N/A	17.2	N/A	68.9	N/A	86.11	68.86
33.5-34	0.0	N/A	3.6	N/A	68.9	N/A	100.0	96.43
49-50	0.0	0.0	1.6	N/A	98.4	N/A	100.0	98.43

1.5.2 Overview of Permeability, Atterberg Limits and Moisture Content Test Results (330.63(e)(5)(B))

An analysis for soil moisture content (ASTM D2216), Atterberg Limits (ASTM D4318) and permeability tests (ASTM D5084) was conducted on samples obtained by Terracon during this investigation. Borings from the landfill side wall were tested on the horizontal axis and those from the bottom were tested on the vertical axis. A summary of findings for each test is presented in the tables below. Further information

detailing these findings is available in the Terracon Geotechnical Data Report in **Part III, Attachment D5- Geotechnical Reports** .

TABLE 12 SUMMARY OF BORING FB-1 SOIL MOISTURE CONTENT, ATTERBERG LIMITS, AND PERMEABILITY

Boring Depth (ft. below ground surface)	Water Content %	Atterberg Limits (LL-PL-PI)[2]	Coefficient of Permeability (cm/sec)
0-1.5	16.4	50-19-31	
2.5-4	12.6	N/A	
4-5	17.1	N/A	
5-6	17.7	N/A	N/A
6-7	17.8	52-20-32	N/A
7-8	19.5	N/A	N/A
8-9	20.6	N/A	N/A
9-10	23.2	N/A	N/A
13.5-15	11.6	N/A	N/A
18.5-20	19.5	N/A	N/A
23.5-25	6.0	N/A	N/A
28.5-30	3.6	N/A	N/A
33.5-34.5	3.9	N/A	N/A
38.5-40	19.6	N/A	N/A
43.5-45	16.1	N/A	N/A

TABLE 13 SUMMARY OF BORING FB-2 SOIL MOISTURE CONTENT, ATTERBERG LIMITS, AND PERMEABILITY

Boring Depth (ft. below ground surface)	Water Content %	Atterberg Limits (LL-PL-PI)	Coefficient of Permeability (cm/sec)
0-1.5	13.8	N/A	N/A
2-3	14.4	54-21-33	N/A
3-4	12.8	N/A	N/A
4-5	14.7	N/A	N/A
5-6	19.0	N/A	N/A
6-7	18.4	N/A	N/A
7-8	18.7	61-23-38	N/A
8.5-10	18.9	N/A	N/A
13-15	17.5	N/A	N/A
18.5-20	25.3	54-22-32	N/A
23.5-25	17.5	N/A	N/A
28.5-30	16.3	N/A	N/A
33.5-35	15.4	N/A	N/A
38-40	18.6	62-17-45	$1.8E^{-09}$
43.5-45	18.0	N/A	N/A

[2] LL- Liquid Limit; PL – Plastic Limit; PI – Plasticity Index

TABLE 14 SUMMARY OF BORING FB-3 SOIL MOISTURE CONTENT, ATTERBERG LIMITS, AND PERMEABILITY

Boring Depth (ft. below ground surface)	Water Content %	Atterberg Limits (LL-PL-PI)	Coefficient of Permeability (cm/sec)
0-1.5	14.6	N/A	N/A
2-3	11.8	N/A	N/A
3-4	12.5	40-18-22	N/A
4-5	13.4	N/A	N/A
5-6	12.5	46-18-28	N/A
6-7	16.2	N/A	N/A
7-8	16.2	N/A	N/A
8-9	15.1	N/A	N/A
9-10	14.0	N/A	N/A
13-15	10.1	N/A	N/A
18-20	7.4	33-16-17	N/A
23.5-25	10.2	N/A	N/A
28.5-30	9.5	N/A	N/A
33.5-34	3.9	N/A	N/A
37-39.5	34.4	54-19-35	N/A
43.5-45	18.6	N/A	N/A
49.5-50	14.9	N/A	N/A

TABLE 15 SUMMARY OF BORING FB-4 SOIL MOISTURE CONTENT, ATTERBERG LIMITS, AND PERMEABILITY

Boring Depth (ft. below ground surface)	Water Content %	Atterberg Limits (LL-PL-PI)	Coefficient of Permeability (cm/sec)
0-1	18.4	N/A	N/A
1-2	19.0	59-17-42	$2.5E^{-09}$
2-3	19.8	N/A	N/A
3-4	20.2	N/A	N/A
4-5	19.8	N/A	N/A
5-6	18.7	61-24-37	N/A
6.5-8	18.3	N/A	N/A
8.5-10	17.6	N/A	N/A
13.5-14	14.6	N/A	N/A
18.5-19.5	14.8	47-21-26	N/A
23.5-24.5	10.1	N/A	N/A
28.5-29.5	9.4	N/A	N/A
35-36	7.7	N/A	N/A

TABLE 16 SUMMARY OF BORING FB-5 SOIL MOISTURE CONTENT, ATTERBERG LIMITS, AND PERMEABILITY

Boring Depth (ft. below ground surface)	Water Content %	Atterberg Limits (LL-PL-PI)	Coefficient of Permeability (cm/sec)
0-1.5	14.3	52-18-34	N/A
2.5-3.5	12.3	N/A	N/A

Boring Depth (ft. below ground surface)	Water Content %	Atterberg Limits (LL-PL-PI)	Coefficient of Permeability (cm/sec)
6.5-7.5	11.3	64-15-49	N/A
8.5-10	13.5	N/A	N/A
13.5-15	11.3	N/A	N/A
18.5-20	14.2	N/A	N/A
23.5-25	14.9	N/A	N/A
28.5-30	14.3	N/A	N/A
34-35	15.8	63-21-42	N/A

TABLE 17 SUMMARY OF BORING FB-6 SOIL MOISTURE CONTENT, ATTERBERG LIMITS, AND PERMEABILITY

Boring Depth (ft. below ground surface)	Water Content %	Atterberg Limits (LL-PL-PI)	Coefficient of Permeability (cm/sec)
0-1.5	15.6	N/A	N/A
2-4	14.9	55-17-38	N/A
4-6	14.7	N/A	N/A
6-8	14.4	48-16-32	$4.3E^{-09}$
8.5-10	15.6	N/A	N/A
13.5-14.5	13.2	N/A	N/A
18.5-19.5	12.4	N/A	N/A
23.5-24.5	15.1	53-19-34	N/A
28.5-29.5	15.9	N/A	N/A
34.5-35	14.7	N/A	N/A

TABLE 18 SUMMARY OF BORING FB-7 SOIL MOISTURE CONTENT, ATTERBERG LIMITS, AND PERMEABILITY

Boring Depth (ft. below ground surface)	Water Content %	Atterberg Limits (LL-PL-PI)	Coefficient of Permeability (cm/sec)
0-1.5	9.5	N/A	N/A
2.5-3.5	7.5	35-15-20	N/A
4.5-6	2.8	N/A	N/A
6.5-8	3.7	N/A	N/A
8.5-10	19.0	N/A	N/A
13.5-15	23.2	N/A	N/A
18-20	18.1	56-17-39	$3.0E^{-09}$
23.5-25	17.4	N/A	N/A
28.5-29.5	22.4	N/A	N/A
33.5-34.5	18.4	N/A	N/A
38.5-40	21.8	57-20-37	N/A
43.5-44.5	20.1	N/A	N/A
49.5-50	20.9	N/A	N/A

TABLE 19 SUMMARY OF BORING FB-8 SOIL MOISTURE CONTENT, ATTERBERG LIMITS, AND PERMEABILITY

Boring Depth (ft. below ground surface)	Water Content %	Atterberg Limits (LL-PL-PI)	Coefficient of Permeability (cm/sec)
0-1.5	8.4	N/A	N/A
2.5-4	8.6	N/A	N/A
4.5-6	15.4	49-19-30	N/A
6.5-8	13.2	N/A	N/A
8-9	21.8	62-23-39	N/A
9-10	16.6	N/A	N/A
13-15	21.4	58-22-36	N/A
18-20	15.3	N/A	N/A
23.5-25	17.7	N/A	N/A
28-30	17.3	N/A	N/A
33.5-34.5	14.0	43-17-26	N/A
43.5-44.5	12.3	N/A	N/A
49-50	13.9	N/A	N/A

1.6 Overview of Encountered Groundwater (330.63(e)(5)(C))

During initial geotechnical investigations, groundwater was encountered by the exploratory borings in the alluvium terrace deposits. Water levels proved to be the equivalent of the static water level. An exception would be the few borings in which clay cuttings sealed off the water bearing zone. Generally, the static water level stabilized in the open bore holes within minutes of completion. As exploratory borings are small diameter excavations, and the thickness of the water bearing stratum was typically just a few feet, only low yield bailers could be used. In those borings in which bailing was attempted, the removal of water, equivalent to a bore volume, reflected no change in the static water elevation. The elevation of the ground water shortly after completion, was thus established as the static water elevation.

In 1989, recorded water well datum, as available at the Texas Water Commission, indicated two domestic wells to have been completed within an Alluvial aquifer in the proximity of the project area. The two wells (see **Appendix E-2**) within 500 feet of the project area are described in Part II of this report. These two wells appear to have been completed in the Leona Formation just above the Navarro Shale and were developed to produce private water supplies.

The perched ground water table, or Alluvial aquifer, though of significance to this proposed development, is not considered the primary use aquifer of the immediate area. The majority of the recorded water wells within a five mile radius of the project are producing from the Edwards aquifer. The Edwards aquifer should be in excess of approximately 500 feet beneath the site of this investigation. Seventy (70) feet of Navarro shale and an underlying 110 feet of Taylor shale is indicated by the log of well Kx 68-30-603. Equivalent shales should extend beneath this project and thus preclude any connection between the Edwards aquifer and the development of this project. The Navarro Shale was shown by the laboratory portion of this investigation to be relatively impermeable.

Groundwater was encountered during the supplemental field investigation in 2020 at borings FB-3 and FB-7 as noted in the Terracon Geotechnical Data Report in **Part III, Attachment D5, Appendix D5-C .** Groundwater level information is presented in the below table. A cross-section of the investigation area, including groundwater information is included with this report as **Appendix E-3**.

TABLE 20 GROUNDWATER LEVELS AT BORINGS FB-3 AND FB-7

Boring Number	Groundwater Level	Comment
FB-3	38 ft. below ground surface	Groundwater level remained static from initial detection to completion of drilling
FB-7	9 ft. below ground surface (initial) 12 ft. below ground surface (completion)	N/A

1.7 Records of Groundwater Level Measurements in Wells (330.63(e)(5)(D))

Five monitoring wells (MW) were installed outside the slurry wall, coupled with twin piezometer wells on the inside of the slurry wall on May 20, 1998. Due to the drought conditions at the time of installation, the wells were dry and could not be developed. Flooding in October of 1998 delayed monitoring further and badly damaged prior records at the landfill, as documented to the Texas Natural Resource Conservation Commission (TNRCC) on January 27, 1999. The well on Line D (MW-D) was replaced on February 29, 2000. The Groundwater Sampling and Analysis Plan (GWSAP) was approved by the TNRCC on July 12, 2000 as a Class I Permit Modification to the Site Operation Plan (SOP).

The initial sampling event was conducted on August 4, 2000. Subsequent monitoring occurred annually through 2022, though some historic records appear to be lost or destroyed. Available information is provided in **Table 21** below which presents historic water-level measurements from past annual groundwater monitoring events.

TABLE 21 HISTORIC GROUNDWATER MONITORING DATA AT THE BECK LANDFILL

Year	MW-A Water Elevation (ft. above msl)	MW-C Water Elevation (ft. above msl)	MW-D Water Elevation (ft. above msl)	MW-F Water Elevation (ft. above msl)	MW-G Water Elevation (ft. above msl)
2020	680.71	675.55	671.90	667.22	672.19
2019	682.73	676.89	673.46	667.69	671.68
2018 (resample)	680.47	678.14	Not sampled	Not sampled	671.22
2018	679.36	675.17	671.12	667.37	670.74
2017	679.79	676.34	672.23	667.22	670.53
2016	681.32	680.03	677.10	672.68	670.15
2015	681.05	680.34	678.17	672.75	670.39
2014	679.94	675.96	672.72	668.62	338.95
2013	678.43	675.4	674.99	666.71	670.06
2012	679.22	678.11	674.99	668.04	670.06
2011	673.80	673.65	669.33	670.23	669.66
2010	Not Available	-	-	-	-
2009	Not Available	-	-	-	-
2008	Not Available	-	-	-	-
2007	Not Available	-	-	-	-
2006	Not Available	-	-	-	-
2005	Not Available	-	-	-	-

Year	MW-A Water Elevation (ft. above msl)	MW-C Water Elevation (ft. above msl)	MW-D Water Elevation (ft. above msl)	MW-F Water Elevation (ft. above msl)	MW-G Water Elevation (ft. above msl)
2004	Not Available	-	-	-	-
2003	Not Available	-	-	-	-
2002	Not Available	-	-	-	-
2001	680.61	676.65	674.05	670.52	673.59
2000	687.61	679.65	673.22	676.19	675.09

1.8 Records of Groundwater Monitoring Data (330.63(e)(5)(E))

Available historical annual groundwater monitoring data from 2005 to 2022 for the Beck Landfill at each monitoring well is presented in the table in Part III, Attachment F (Groundwater Characterization Report), Appendix F-2 (Historical Groundwater Data).

1.9 Identification of Uppermost Aquifer (330.63(e)(5)(F))

The uppermost aquifer at the Beck Landfill site may have been the Leona Aquifer which is comprised of gravel and sand with lenses of caliche and silt of the Pleistocene Series Leona Formation. The identification of the Leona as the uppermost aquifer at the site is based on review of region groundwater reports published by the Texas Water Development Board (TWDB), surface geology maps and monitoring well logs. However, due to the similarity between the Holocene alluvial terrace deposits and the Leona Formation and the intervening Cibolo Creek, it is likely that the Holocene alluvial deposits contained perched water from infiltrated rainwater and early communication with the Cibolo Creek. The Beck Landfill as constructed has an impermeable slurry trench to prevent hydraulic connection with the Cibolo Creek and the Holocene alluvial deposits are removed.

The Leona Aquifer is not hydraulically connected to the deeper Edwards Aquifer due to the presence of two aquitards creating hydraulic separation. These aquitards consist of undivided Navarro Group and Marlbrook Marl and Pecan Gap Chalk strata. The Edwards Aquifer would likely be considered the uppermost aquifer beneath Beck Landfill in the absence of the Leona Aquifer.

A review of historical groundwater elevation measurements taken from the landfill monitoring wells show that groundwater in the uppermost aquifer typically flows from the northwest to the southeast toward Cibolo Creek. The site-specific hydraulic conductivity of the uppermost aquifer has not been measured; therefore, the rate of groundwater flow cannot be calculated at this time.

1.10 Groundwater Certification Process for Arid Exemption (§330.63(e)(6))

Not applicable - Beck is not seeking an arid exemption for the landfill, therefore this section does not apply.

FIGURE E-1 SURFACE GEOLOGY



Legend

- Permit Boundary
- 3 Mile Radius
- Geologic Fault

GDbT Rock Unit

- Kknm
- Kpg
- Qle
- Qt

STATE OF TEXAS
Juliana C. Morelli
Geology
10670
LICENSED
PROFESSIONAL GEOSCIENTIST

9/15/2023

NIDO, LTD
BECK LANDFILL

FIGURE 3-1
REGIONAL GEOLOGY MAP

SCHERTZ, GUADALUPE COUNTY, TEXAS

POWER ENGINEERS

Date:9/15/2023
Rev. 0

Map Sources: Geologic Database of Texas, ESRI World Topo Basemap
Path: G:\Projects\0_Beck\150051 Landfill GIS_Maps_TypeIV_Landfill\3_1_Surface_Geology.mxd

FIGURE E-2 STRATIGRAPHIC COLUMN

GENERALIZED STRATIGRAPHIC COLUMN

System	Series	Group	Formation	Thickness (feet)	Lithology	Water Supply
Quaternary	Holocene		Alluvium	Up to 25	Clay, silt, sand, and gravel	Not known to supply water to wells. May be hydraulically connected to Pleistocene formations
	Pleistocene		Fluviatile Terrace Deposits	Up to 30	Sand, silt, clay, and some gravel	Not known to supply water to wells. May be hydraulically connected to Holocene Alluvium and Leona Formatoin
			Leona Formation	Up to 60	Gravel and sand with lenses of caliche and silt	Yield small to large quantities[1] of water to wells for domestic, public supply, livestock and irrigation
Tertiary	Pliocene and Pleistocene (?)		Uvalde Gravel	Up to 20	caliche-cemented gravel, cobbles, and some small boulders	Not known to supply water to wells
	Eocene	Wilcox		1420	Mostly mudstone with some silt and very fine sand laminae and variable amounts of sandstone and lignite	Yield small to large quantities of water to wells for domestic, livestock and public supply
	Paleocene	Midway		500	Mostly clay and silt with some lenses of sand and limestone	Not known to supply water to wells
Cretaceous	Gulf	Navarro-Upper Taylor	Navarro Group and Marlbrook Marl undivided	Up to 580	Marl, clay, and siltstone with discontinuous sandstone beds	Not known to supply water to wells
		Lower Taylor	Pecan Gap Chalk	100 to 400	Chalk and chalky marl	Not known to supply water to wells
		Austin	Austin Chalk	350 to 580	Chalk and marl	Yield small to moderate quantities of water to wells for domestic, livestock and some public supply
		Eagle Ford		30 to 75	Shale, siltstone and flaggy limestone	Not known to supply water to wells
	Comanche	Washita	Buda Limestone	60 to 100	Fine grained to nodular limestone	Not known to supply water to wells
			Del Rio Clay	60 to 120	Calcareous and gypsiferous clay with some thin lenticular beds of calcareous siltstone	Not known to supply water to wells
		Fredericksburg	Edwards Limestone undivided	300 to 500	Fine to coarse grained massive limestone with abundant chert and solution zones	Yield small to moderate quantities of water to wells for public supply, domestic and livestock

1 - Small = <50 gallons per minute, Moderate = 50 to 500 gallons per minute and Large = >500 gallons per minute



9/15/2023

NIDO, LTD
BECK LANDFILL

FIGURE 3-2
STRATIGRAPHIC COLUMN

SCHERTZ, GUADALUPE COUNTY, TEXAS

POWER ENGINEERS

Date: 9/15/2023
Rev. 0

Path: G:\Projects\0_Beck\150051 Landfill GIS_Maps_TypeIV_Landfill\3_2_Stratigraphic_Column.mxd

FIGURE E-3 REGIONAL CROSS SECTION



Plate 2

Geologic Section A - A'

U.S. Geological Survey in cooperation with the Texas Water Development Board and Others

Source: Shafer, G.H., 1966, Ground-Water Resources of Guadalupe County, Texas, U.S. Geological Survey, Texas Water Development Board, Report 19

STATE OF TEXAS
Juliana C. Morelli
Geology
10670
LICENSED
PROFESSIONAL GEOSCIENTIST

Julie Morelli

9/15/2023

NIDO, LTD
BECK LANDFILL

FIGURE 3-3
REGIONAL STRATIGRAPHIC CROSS-SECTION

SCHERTZ, GUADALUPE COUNTY, TEXAS

POWER ENGINEERS

Date: 9/15/2023
Rev. 0

Path: G:\Projects\0_Beck\150051 Landfill GIS_Maps_TypeIV_Landfill\3_2_Stratigraphic_Column.ai

FIGURE E-4 QUATERNARY FAULT MAP



Note: A Class B Fault is one that demonstrates the existence of a fault or suggests Quaternary deformation, but might not extend deeply enough to be a potential source of significant earthquakes.

STATE OF TEXAS
Juliana C. Morelli
Geology
10670
LICENSED
PROFESSIONAL GEOSCIENTIST

Legend

- Permit Boundary
- 3 Mile Radius

Fault Areas

- Class B

Map Sources: U.S. Geological Survey Quaternary Faults
https://earthquake.usgs.gov/arcgis/

NIDO, LTD
BECK LANDFILL

Julie Morelli
9-15-2023

FIGURE E-4
QUARTERNARY FAULT MAP

SCHERTZ, GUADALUPE COUNTY, TEXAS

POWER ENGINEERS

Date: 9/15/2023
Rev. 5

Path: G:\Projects\0_Beck\150051 Landfill GIS_Maps_TypeIV_Landfill\3_4_Quarternary_Fault_Map.mxd

FIGURE E-5 REGIONAL AQUIFERS



STATE OF TEXAS
Juliana C. Morelli
Geology
10670
LICENSED
PROFESSIONAL GEOSCIENTIST

9/15/2023

Legend

- Permit Boundary
- 5 Mile Radius

Major Aquifer

- Carrizo-Wilcox
- Edwards

Local Aquifer

- Leona
- Austin Chalk

NIDO, LTD
BECK LANDFILL

FIGURE 3-5
REGIONAL AQUIFER OUTCROP AREAS

SCHERTZ, GUADALUPE COUNTY, TEXAS

Date: 3/9/2023
Rev. 0

Map Sources: Geologic Database of Texas, TWDB, ESRI World Imagery 2021
Path: G:\Projects\0_Beck\150051 Landfill GIS_Maps_TypeIV_Landfill\3_5_Regional_Aquifers.mxd

FIGURE E-6 EDWARDS POTENTIOMETRIC MAP



Figure 23
Regional Direction of Ground-Water Flow and Water Levels in the Edwards Aquifer in July 1974

Source: Maclay, R. W. and Small, T. A., 1986, Carbonate Geology and Hydrology of the Edwards Aquifer in the San Antonio Area, Texas, U.S. Geological Survey, Texas Water Development Board, Report 296

STATE OF TEXAS
Juliana C. Morelli
Geology
10670
LICENSED
PROFESSIONAL GEOSCIENTIST

Julie Morelli
9/15/2023

NIDO, LTD
BECK LANDFILL

FIGURE 3-6
EDWARDS WATER TABLE POTENTIOMETRIC SURFACE MAP

SCHERTZ, GUADALUPE COUNTY, TEXAS

POWER ENGINEERS

Date: 3/9/2023
Rev. 0

Path: G:\Projects\0_Beck\150051 Landfill GIS_Maps_TypeIV_Landfill\3_2_Stratigraphic_Column.ai

FIGURE E-7 WATER WELLS WITHIN 1 MILE



STATE OF TEXAS
Juliana C. Morelli
Geology
10670
LICENSED
PROFESSIONAL GEOSCIENTIST

Julie Morelli 9/15/2023

Legend

- Permit Boundary
- Stream
- 1-Mile Radius
- TWDB Groundwater Well

NIDO, LTD
BECK LANDFILL

FIGURE 3-7:
WATER WELLS WITHIN 1 MILE

SCHERTZ, GUADALUPE COUNTY, TEXAS

Date: 3/9/2023
Rev. 0

Map Sources: TWDB Groundwater Wells, ESRI World Imagery 2021
Path: G:\Projects\0_Beck\150051 Landfill GIS_Maps_TypeIV_Landfill\3_7_Water_Wells_1mile.mxd

FIGURE E-8 SEISMIC IMPACT







Legend

Permit Boundary

3 Mile Radius

Seismic Risk Zone (FEMA)

Very Low

Map Sources: FEMA National Risk Index
https://hazards.fema.gov/nri/map

NIDO, LTD
BECK LANDFILL

Julie Morelli
9-15-2023

FIGURE E-8
SEISMIC IMPACT ZONE

SCHERTZ, GUADALUPE
COUNTY, TEXAS

Date: 9/15/2023
Rev. 5

Path: G:\Projects\0_Beck\150051 Landfill GIS_Maps_TypeIV_Landfill\3_8_Seismic_Impact.mxd

FIGURE E-9 SEISMIC IMPACT (REGIONAL)



STATE OF TEXAS
Juliana C. Morelli
Geology
10670
LICENSED
PROFESSIONAL GEOSCIENTIST

Legend

- Permit Boundary
- 3 Mile Radius

Fault Areas

- Class B

Map Sources: USGS U.S. Quaternary Faults
https://earthquake.usgs.gov/arcgis/

NIDO, LTD
BECK LANDFILL

Julie Morelli
7-5-2023

FIGURE E-9
SEISMIC IMPACT ZONE MAP - REGIONAL SCALE

SCHERTZ, GUADALUPE COUNTY, TEXAS

POWER ENGINEERS

Date: 7/5/2023
Rev. 4

Path: G:\Projects\0_Beck\150051 Landfill GIS_Maps_TypeIV_Landfill\3_9_Seismic_Impact_Regional_Scale.mxd

APPENDIX E-1 Letter to TCEQ January 27, 1999

Beck Readymix Concrete Company
P.O. Box 790641, San Antonio, TX 78279-0641
(210)-349-2491, fax (210)-341-8308

January 27, 1999

Ms. Stephanie Saldana, Geologist
MSW Permits Section, Permits Division
TNRCC- MC-124
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, TX 78711-3087

RE: Solid Waste – Guadalupe County
Beck Readymix Concrete Co. – MSW Permit No. 1848
Groundwater Monitoring Report/Groundwater Monitoring System

Ms. Stephanie Saldana:

I appreciate your time on the phone today, and thank you for the SE67 form. Per our conversation, I am responding to your department's letter dated December 31, 1998 signed by Ada Lichaa.

Let me outline our current situation chronologically:

- Five monitor wells have been installed on the outside of the slurry wall, coupled with twin piezometers on the inside of the slurry wall.
- The monitor wells were dry at the time of installation due to drought conditions and were unable to be developed.
- The October floods badly damaged all of our records and delayed sampling of the monitor wells.
- Mr. Harley Weid who handled all of our monitor well construction and record keeping has passed away this month following a long-term bout with cancer. He will be sorely missed.
- Our monitor wells were sampled this month by Westward Environmental. We are waiting for the results, which will then be forwarded to you.
- I have found the TNRCC-0199 reports, well construction plans, a location map, a well detail, and boring charts. These items are being forwarded with this letter.

At this time, our records are scattered and possibly unretrievable and the one person who could duplicate the information has passed on. We do not know if the information you require was ever sent in or not. It could be that due to the drought, Mr. Weid was delaying the information so that it could be submitted when the wells were developed and sampled.

Our plan is to continue to search through what records we can. If we cannot find what we need, we will re create the information and submit it with the analyticals being developed by Westward Environmental.

Please call if you have any questions concerning this letter.

Sincerely,

Benjamin Davis
President

Send original copy by certified return receipt requested mail to: TNRCC, MC 177, P.O. Box 13087, Austin, TX 78711-3087

ATTENTION OWNER: *Confidentiality Privilege Notice on on reverse side of Well Owner's copy (pink)*

State of Texas
WELL REPORT

Texas Water Well Drillers Advisory Council
MC 177
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, TX 78711-3087
512-239-0530

1) OWNER Ben Davis (Name) ADDRESS PO. Box 790641 SA, TX. 78279-0641 (Street or RFD) (City) (State) (Zip)

2) ADDRESS OF WELL: County Guadalupe 550 fm 78 (Street, RFD or other) Schertz (City) Tx. 78108 (State) (Zip) GRID # L68-30-6

3) TYPE OF WORK (Check): ☒ New Well ☐ Deepening ☐ Reconditioning ☐ Plugging

4) PROPOSED USE (Check): ☒ Monitor ☐ Environmental Soil Boring ☐ Domestic ☐ Industrial ☐ Irrigation ☐ Injection ☐ Public Supply ☐ De-watering ☐ Testwell
If Public Supply well, were plans submitted to the TNRCC? ☐ Yes ☐ No

5)

6) WELL LOG: MW-1-2-3-4-5
Date Drilling: Started 5-17 19 88 Completed 5-20 19 88

DIAMETER OF HOLE		
Dia. (in.)	From (ft.)	To (ft.)
8"	Surface	46'

7) DRILLING METHOD (Check): ☐ Driven ☐ Air Rotary ☐ Mud Rotary ☐ Bored ☐ Air Hammer ☐ Cable Tool ☐ Jetted ☒ Other HSA

From (ft.)	To (ft.)	Description and color of formation material
0	2	Roadbase gravel
2	42	Tan & grey clay fill
42	46	blue Shell

8) Borehole Completion (Check): ☐ Open Hole ☐ Straight Wall ☐ Underreamed ☐ Gravel Packed ☒ Other Sch 5440
If Gravel Packed give interval ... from _____ ft. to _____ ft.

CASING, BLANK PIPE, AND WELL SCREEN DATA:

Dia (in.)	New or Used	Steel, Plastic, etc. Perf., Slotted, etc. Screen Mfg., if commercial	Setting (ft.) From	Setting (ft.) To	Gage Casing Screen
4	N	Blank	0	31	Sch 40
4	N	Screen	31	46	0.010

9) CEMENTING DATA [Rule 338.44(1)] all wells
Cemented from 0 ft. to 27 ft. No. of sacks used 25
_____ ft. to _____ ft. No. of sacks used _____
Method used hand mix
Cemented by Eric C. Jones - JEDI
Distance to septic system field lines or other concentrated contamination _____ ft.
Method of verification of above distance _____

(Use reverse side of Well Owner's copy, if necessary)

13) TYPE PUMP: N/A
☐ Turbine ☐ Jet ☐ Submersible ☐ Cylinder ☐ Other _____
Depth to pump bowls, cylinder, jet, etc., _____ ft.

14) WELL TESTS: N/A
Type test: ☐ Pump ☐ Bailer ☐ Jetted ☐ Estimated
Yield: _____ gpm with _____ ft. drawdown after _____ hrs.

15) WATER QUALITY:
Did you knowingly penetrate any strata which contained undesirable constituents?
☐ Yes ☒ No If yes, submit "REPORT OF UNDESIRABLE WATER"
Type of water? _____ Depth of strata _____
Was a chemical analysis made? ☐ Yes ☒ No

16) SURFACE COMPLETION
☒ Specified Surface Slab Installed [Rule 338.44(2)(A)]
☐ Specified Steel Sleeve Installed [Rule 338.44(3)(A)]
☐ Pitless Adapter Used [Rule 338.44(3)(b)]
☐ Approved Alternative Procedure Used [Rule 338.71]

11) WATER LEVEL:
Static level Dry ft. below land surface Date 5-20-88
Artesian flow _____ gpm. Date _____

12) PACKERS: N/A Type Depth

I hereby certify that this well was drilled by me (or under my supervision) and that each and all of the statements herein are true to the best of my knowledge and belief. I understand that failure to complete items 1 thru 15 will result in the log(s) being returned for completion and resubmittal.

COMPANY NAME JEDI (Type or print) WELL DRILLER'S LICENSE NO. 5a205-M

ADDRESS 806 N. Main (Street or RFD) Cibolo (City) Tx. (State) 78108 (Zip)

(Signed) Eric C. Jones (Licensed Well Driller) (Signed) _____ (Registered Driller Trainee)

Please attach electric log, chemical analysis, and other pertinent information, if available.

TNRCC-0199 (Rev. 05-21-96) White - TNRCC Yellow - DRILLER Pink - WELL OWNER

Send original copy by certified return receipt requested mail to: TNRCC, MC 177, P.O. Box 13087, Austin, TX 78711-3087

ATTENTION OWNER: *Confidentiality Privilege Notice on on reverse side of Well Owner's copy (pink)*

State of Texas
WELL REPORT

Texas Water Well Drillers Advisory Council
MC 177
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, TX 78711-3087
512-239-0530

1) OWNER Ben Davis (Name) ADDRESS P.O. Box 790641 S.A. (Street or RFD) Tx. (City) 78279-0641 (State) (Zip)

2) ADDRESS OF WELL: County Guadalupe 550 Fm 78 (Street, RFD or other) Schertz (City) Tx (State) 78108 (Zip) GRID # 68-30-6

3) TYPE OF WORK (Check): ☒ New Well ☐ Deepening ☐ Reconditioning ☐ Plugging

4) PROPOSED USE (Check): ☒ Monitor ☐ Environmental Soil Boring ☐ Domestic ☐ Industrial ☐ Irrigation ☐ Injection ☐ Public Supply ☐ De-watering ☐ Testwell
If Public Supply well, were plans submitted to the TNRCC? ☐ Yes ☐ No

5)

6) WELL LOG: [redacted] Date Drilling: BH-1-2-3-4-5 Started 5-19 19 98 Completed 5-20 19 98

DIAMETER OF HOLE	Dia. (in.)	From (ft.)	To (ft.)
	8	Surface	[redacted] 44

7) DRILLING METHOD (Check): ☐ Driven ☐ Air Rotary ☐ Mud Rotary ☐ Bored ☐ Air Hammer ☐ Cable Tool ☐ Jetted ☒ Other HSA 7/4

N

From (ft.)	To (ft.)	Description and color of formation material
0	2	Roadbase gravel
2	[redacted] 2/2	Tan/grey clay fill
42	44	blue shell

8) Borehole Completion (Check): ☐ Open Hole ☐ Straight Wall ☐ Underreamed ☐ Gravel Packed ☒ Other 2 4/ea Sand
If Gravel Packed give interval ... from _____ ft. to _____ ft.

CASING, BLANK PIPE, AND WELL SCREEN DATA:

Dia. (in.)	New or Used	Steel, Plastic, etc. Perf., Slotted, etc. Screen Mfg., if commercial	Setting (ft.) From	Setting (ft.) To	Gage Casting Screen
2	N	Plank	0	[redacted] 24	Sch 40
2	N	Screen	24 [redacted]	[redacted] 44	0.010

9) CEMENTING DATA [Rule 338.44(1)] all neat
Cemented from 0 ft. to 20 ft. No. of sacks used 1.5
_____ ft. to _____ ft. No. of sacks used _____
Method used hand mix
Cemented by Eric Jones / JEDI
Distance to septic system field lines or other concentrated contamination _____ ft.
Method of verification of above distance _____

(Use reverse side of Well Owner's copy, if necessary)

13) TYPE PUMP: N/A
☐ Turbine ☐ Jet ☐ Submersible ☐ Cylinder ☐ Other _____
Depth to pump bowls, cylinder, jet, etc., _____ ft.

10) SURFACE COMPLETION
☒ Specified Surface Slab Installed [Rule 338.44(2)(A)]
☐ Specified Steel Sleeve Installed [Rule 338.44(3)(A)]
☐ Pitless Adapter Used [Rule 338.44(3)(b)]
☐ Approved Alternative Procedure Used [Rule 338.71]

14) WELL TESTS: N/A
Type test: ☐ Pump ☐ Bailer ☐ Jetted ☐ Estimated
Yield: _____ gpm with _____ ft. drawdown after _____ hrs.

11) WATER LEVEL:
Static level Dry ft. below land surface Date 5-20-98
Artesian flow _____ gpm. Date _____

15) WATER QUALITY:
Did you knowingly penetrate any strata which contained undesirable constituents?
☐ Yes ☒ No If yes, submit "REPORT OF UNDESIRABLE WATER"
Type of water? _____ Depth of strata _____
Was a chemical analysis made? ☐ Yes ☒ No

12) PACKERS: N/A Type Depth

I hereby certify that this well was drilled by me (or under my supervision) and that each and all of the statements herein are true to the best of my knowledge and belief. I understand that failure to complete items 1 thru 15 will result in the log(s) being returned for completion and resubmittal.

COMPANY NAME JEDI (Type or print) WELL DRILLER'S LICENSE NO. 50205-M

ADDRESS 506 N. Mesa (Street or RFD) Cibolo (City) Tx (State) 78108 (Zip)

(Signed) [signature] (Licensed Well Driller) (Signed) _____ (Registered Driller Trainee)

Please attach electric log, chemical analysis, and other pertinent information, if available.

TNRCC-0199 (Rev. 05-21-98) White - TNRCC Yellow - DRILLER Pink - WELL OWNER



B'D

TYPICAL WELL LOCATION

TYPICAL WELL CONSTRUCTION



SNOWDEN, INC. ATTACHMENT 7-E



TYPICAL MONITOR WELL LOCATION CROSS SECTION

ATTACHMENT 7-F

MONITOR WELL AND PIEZOMETER LOCATIONS





NOTE: LINE-STATION DESIGNATION SHALL BECOME IDENTIFICATION NUMBER

"W" SHALL INDICATE MONITOR WELL (X-0+00W)

"P" SHALL INDICATE PIEZOMETER (X-0+00P)

TYPICAL DETAIL:

MONITOR WELL / PIEZOMETER DIKE EXTENSIONS


A-22+25
714
SURFACE
712
COMPACTED DIKE MATERIAL - SOIL
699
ALLUVIAL SOIL & GRAVELS
34' CASIN
680
1'+10' SCREEN
CLAY SHALE
670
669
C-14+50
714
SURFACE
712
COMPACTED DIKE MATERIALS
696
ALLUVIAL SOIL & GRAVELS
38' CASIN
676
1'+10' SCREEN
CLAY SHALE
666
665


D-7+25
709
SURFACE
707
COMPACTED DIKE MATERIAL - SOIL
681
ALLUVIAL SOIL & GRAVELS
677
CLAY
667
SHALE
665
32' CASIN
2' 10' SCREEN
F-2+00
704
SURFACE
702
COMPACTED DIKE MATERIAL - SOIL
678
ALLUVIAL SOIL & GRAVELS
CLAY
668
SHALE
665
26' CASIN
3' 10' SCREEN


G-13+25
SURFACE
702
700
COMPACTED DIKE MATERIAL - SOIL
688
ALLUVIAL SOIL & GRAVELS
30' CASIN
672
2' x 10' SCREEN
665
CLAY
662
SHALE
660

Appendix E-2 Texas Water Well Information

File original copy with
Texas Water Commission
P. O. Box 2311, Capitol Station
Austin 11, Texas

State of Texas

DRILLERS LOG AND WELL DATA REPORT

For use by TWC only
Well No. 68-38-6D
Located on map PE 5
By [illegible] Date 65
Map no. 95

1) Well Owner: Mack Kardys (Name) 308 2nd Street (Street or RFD) Schertz, Texas (City, State)

2) Land Owner: _____ (Name) _____ (Street or RFD) _____ (City) _____ (State)

3) Intended use: Industrial ☐ ; Municipal ☐ ; Irrigation ☐ ; Other Personal

4) Location of well: County Guadalupe & [illegible] Labor _____ League _____ Abstract No. _____

NW¼ NE¼ SW¼ SE¼ (Circle as many as are known) of Section _____ Block No. _____ Survey _____

4 ± miles in North East direction (NE, SW, etc.)
from Schertz (Town)



Sketch map of well location with distances from two section or survey lines, and to landmarks, roads, and creeks.

DRILLERS LOG OF WELL

Method of drilling: Cable Tool Diameter of hole 8¼ in. Date drilled May 1, 1965

All measurements made from 0 ft. above ground level.

From (ft)	To (ft)	Description and color of formation material	From (ft)	To (ft)	Description and color of formation material
0	10	Top Soil			
10	25	Sandy Loam Hard Soil			
25	35	Gravel			
35	50	Yellow Caly			
50	75	Blue Shale			
					(Use continuation sheets if necessary)

COMPLETION DATA

COMPLETION

Straight wall ☐
Under reamed ☐
Gravel packed ☐
Open hole ☒ xx
Other _____

CASING

Type: Old ☐ New ☐ Used
Cemented from 0 ft.
to 25 ft.

Diameter (inches)	Setting from (ft)	Setting to (ft)
7	0	56

SCREEN

Type Casing perforated
Perforated ☐ Slotted ☐

Diameter (inches)	Setting from (ft)	Setting to (ft)
	28	52

I hereby certify that this well was drilled by me (or under my supervision) and that each and all of the statements herein are true to the best of my knowledge and belief.

E B Kutscher (Signature) Kutscher Drilling Company (Company Name) Reg. No. 635

Please attach electric log, chemical analysis, and other pertinent information if available.

If well was tested by your company or if you installed the permanent pump please complete the following:

WATER LEVEL AND PUMP DATA

Static water level 26
ft. below surface

Pumping level

feet	hours	gpm
Rig tested - 35 GPM		

Pump type _____
Designed pumping rate _____ gpm ☐ gph ☐
Type power unit _____
Horsepower _____
Depth to bowls, cylinder, jet, etc., _____ ft. below pump base.

Name of contractor testing well or installing permanent pump if other than your company: _____

C-34 (62-4)


GENERAL HIGHWAY MAP
GUADALUPE COUNTY
TEXAS
PREPARED BY THE
STATE DEPARTMENT OF HIGHWAYS
AND PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION
TRANSPORTATION PLANNING DIVISION
IN COOPERATION WITH THE
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
FEDERAL HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION
SCALE IN MILES
1977
1980 CENSUS FIGURES
HIGHWAYS REVISED TO JULY 1, 1986
Copies of this map are available for public use at nominal cost from the State Department of Highways and Public Transportation, P O Box 5051, Austin, Texas 78763
POLYCONIC PROJECTION NORTH AMERICAN DATUM
CONTROL: U.S. COAST AND GEODETIC SURVEY AND U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY
Sheet 1 of 1 Base Sheet and 2 Supplementary Sheets
NOTICE
This map has been prepared for internal departmental use and has no official status. Accuracy is limited to validity of available data as of the dates shown.
LEGEND
KEY TO COUNTIES
GERONIMO
KINGSBURY
SEGUIN
UNIVERSAL CITY
SCHERTZ
SELMA
CIBOLO
MARION
McQUEENEY
NEW BERLIN
STAPLES
ZUEHL
COMAL COUNTY
HAYS COUNTY
CALDWELL COUNTY
GONZALES COUNTY
WILSON COUNTY
BEXAR COUNTY

1 mi S/NL
1 mi E/WL

Please use black ink.
Send original copy by certified mail to the
Texas Water Commission
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711

State of Texas
WATER WELL REPORT

ATTENTION OWNER: *Confidentiality Privilege Notice on Reverse Side*

Texas Water Well Drillers Board
P. O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711

1) OWNER H. J. Herb (Name) Address Rt. 3 Box 147Q (Street or RFD) Cibolo (City), Tx. (State) 78108 (Zip)

2) LOCATION OF WELL: County Guadalupe 1 miles in N (N.E., S.W., etc.) direction from Cibilo (Town)

Driller must complete the legal description to the right with distance and direction from two intersecting section or survey lines, or he must locate and identify the well on an official Quarter- or Half-Scale Texas County General Highway Map and attach the map to this form.

(1)

☐ **Legal description:**
Section No. _____ Block No. _____ Township _____
Abstract No. _____ Survey Name _____
Distance and direction from two intersecting section or survey lines _____

☑ See attached map.

3) **TYPE OF WORK** (Check):
☑ New Well ☐ Deepening ☐ Reconditioning ☐ Plugging

4) **PROPOSED USE** (Check):
☑ Domestic ☐ Industrial ☐ Monitor ☐ Public Supply ☐ Irrigation ☐ Test Well ☐ Injection ☐ Other _____

5) **DRILLING METHOD** (Check):
☐ Driven ☑ Mud Rotary ☐ Air Hammer ☐ Jetted ☐ Bored ☐ Air Rotary ☐ Cable Tool ☐ Other _____

6) **WELL LOG:**
Date Drilling: Started 3-21 1986 Completed 3-21 1986

DIAMETER OF HOLE		
Dia. (in.)	From (ft.)	To (ft.)
4 3/4	Surface	60
6 3/4	11	46

7) **BOREHOLE COMPLETION:**
☐ Open Hole ☐ Straight Wall ☐ Underreamed
☑ Gravel Packed ☐ Other _____
If Gravel Packed give interval . . . from 15 ft. to 43 ft.

From (ft.)	To (ft.)	Description and color of formation material
0	3	rock
3	36	yellow clay & rock strks
36	42	streaky rock & sand
42		blue clay

8) **CASING, BLANK PIPE, AND WELL SCREEN DATA:**

Dia. (in.)	New or Used	Steel, Plastic, etc. Perf., Slotted, etc. Screen Mgf., if commercial	Setting (ft.) From	Setting (ft.) To	Gage Casing Screen
4	NEW	Plastic	0	45	std 40
		slotted	29	45	

9) **CEMENTING DATA** [Rule 319.44(b)]
Cemented from 0 ft. to 15 ft. No. of Sacks Used 2
_____ ft. to _____ ft. No. of Sacks Used _____
Method used _____
Cemented by Gary Dehardé

10) **SURFACE COMPLETION**
☐ Specified Surface Slab Installed [Rule 319.44(c)]
☐ Pitless Adapter Used [Rule 319.44(d)]
☐ Approved Alternative Procedure Used [Rule 319.71]

11) **WATER LEVEL:**
Static level 25 ft. below land surface Date 3-21-86
Artesian flow _____ gpm. Date _____

12) **PACKERS:** Type Depth

13) **TYPE PUMP:**
☐ Turbine ☐ Jet ☑ Submersible ☐ Cylinder
☐ Other _____
Depth to pump bowls, cylinder, jet, etc., 40 ft.

14) **WELL TESTS:**
Type Test: ☑ Pump ☑ Bailer ☐ Jetted ☐ Estimated
Yield: 15 gpm with _____ ft. drawdown after _____ hrs.
e 40'

RECEIVED
JUL 22 1986
TEXAS WATER COMMISSION

(Use reverse side if necessary)

15) **WATER QUALITY:**
Did you knowingly penetrate any strata which contained undesirable water? ☐ Yes ☑ No
If yes, submit "REPORT OF UNDESIRABLE WATER"
Type of water? _____ Depth of strata _____
Was a chemical analysis made? ☐ Yes ☑ No

I here by certify that this well was drilled by me (or under my supervision) and that each and all of the statements herein are true to the best of my knowledge and belief. I understand that failure to complete items 1 thru 12 will result in the log(s) being returned for completion and resubmittal.

COMPANY NAME DEHARDE'S W.W. SERVICE (Type or Print) Water Well Driller's License No. 2328

ADDRESS Rt 5 Box 440 (Street or RFD) SEGUIN (City) TX. (State) 78155 (Zip)

(Signed) Gary Dehardé (Licensed Water Well Driller) (Signed) _____ (Registered Driller Trainee)

Please attach electric log, chemical analysis, and other pertinent information, if available.

For TWC use only
Well No. 68-31-4
Located on map _____

TWC-0392 (Rev. 06-10-85)

TEXAS WATER COMMISSION COPY

IMPORTANT NOTICE FOR PERSONS HAVING WELLS DRILLED CONCERNING PRIVILEGE OF CONFIDENTIALITY

The Water Well Drillers Board and the Texas Water Commission are concerned that some persons having water wells drilled may not be aware of the confidentiality privilege provision of Section 5 of the Water Well Drillers Act. Section 5, the Reporting of Well Logs, reads as follows:

> "Every licensed water well driller drilling, deepening or otherwise altering a water well within this State shall make and keep, or cause to be made and kept, a legible and accurate well log, and within 30 days from the completion or cessation of drilling, deepening or otherwise altering such a water well, shall deliver or transmit by certified mail a copy of such well log to the Commission, and the owner thereof or the person having had such well drilled. Each copy of a well log, other than a Commission copy, shall include the name, mailing address, and telephone number of the Board and the Commission. The well log required herein shall at the request in writing to the Commission, by certified mail, by the owner or the person having such well drilled be held as confidential matter and not made of public record."

The last sentence specifies the means whereby you can, if you wish, assure that logs of your wells will be kept confidential.

H. J. ①
Herb

C. D. ②
Hobbs

VERNON ③
KRUEGER

Tommy ④
Leal

GARY ⑤
Schwarskopf

TERRY ⑥
Love

PAUL ⑦
ALVARADO

M. ⑧
HERRERA

DAVID ⑨
TUCK



GERONIMO


KINGSBURY

LEGEND




KEY TO COUNTIES

GENERAL HIGHWAY MAP
GUADALUPE COUNTY
TEXAS

PREPARED BY THE
STATE DEPARTMENT OF HIGHWAYS
AND PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION
TRANSPORTATION PLANNING DIVISION
IN COOPERATION WITH THE
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
FEDERAL HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION

SCALE IN MILES

1977
1980 CENSUS FIGURES

HIGHWAYS REVISED TO JULY 1, 1984

Copies of this map are available for public use at nominal cost from the State Department of Highways and Public Transportation, P O Box 5051, Austin, Texas 78763

POLYCONIC PROJECTION NORTH AMERICAN DATUM
CONTROL: U.S. COAST AND GEODETIC SURVEY AND U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

Sheet 1 of 1 Base Sheet and 2 Supplementary Sheets

NOTICE
This map has been prepared for internal departmental use and has no official status. Accuracy is limited to validity of available data as of the dates shown.

APPENDIX E-3 CROSS-SECTIONS

BECK LANDFILL

BORING LOCATION MAP



Figure 1.1

Boring Location Data References:

1. Snowden, Inc., Geotechnical Investigation, F.M. 78, Beck Ready Mix Job #5108, August-December, 1985.

2. Snowden, Inc., Geotechnical Investigation, F.M. 78, Beck Ready Mix Job #5108, September 1987.

BORING DATA

Figure 1.2

Boring ID	Latitude (N)	Longitude (W)	Collar Elevation (ft.)	Total Depth (ft.)	TD Elevation (ft.)	Depth to Water (ft.)	Static Water Elevation (ft.)	Lithology (Youngest to Oldest)
A-4	29.5429°	-98.2614°	679.2	20.0	659.20	19.0	660.2	Silty Clay, Clayey Gravel, Stiff Clay
A-5	29.5434°	-98.2604°	689.8	20.0	669.80	Dry	-------	Clayey Gravel, Stiff Clay, Clay Shale
A-6	29.5439°	-98.2593°	684.6	20.0	664.60	6.0	678.6	Fill, Silty Clay, Clayey Gravel, Stiff Clay, Shale
A-7	29.5444°	-98.2583°	682.4	20.0	662.40	1.5	680.9	Clayey -Silty Gravel, Clayey Shale
B-3	29.5433°	-98.2630°	687.3	20.0	667.3	7.0	380.36	Silty Clay, Stiff Clay, Clayey Shale
B-4	29.5439°	-98.2620°	684.4	20.0	664.4	8.0	676.4	Silty Clay, Silty-Clayey Gravel, Stiff Clay, Clayey Shale
B-5	29.5448°	-98.2609°	682.4	20.0	662.4	7.0	675.4	Silty Clay, Sandy-Clayey Gravel, Stiff Clay, Clayey Shale
B-6	29.5449°	-98.2599°	687.6	25.0	662.6	11.7	675.9	Clayey Gravel, Stiff Clay, Clayey Shale
B-7	29.5454°	-98.2589°	676.8	20.0	656.8	1.5	675.3	Sandy Gravel, Clayey Shale
C-3	29.5443°	-98.256.36°	697.8	25.0	672.8	22.0	675.8	Fill, Silty Clay, Silty Gravel, Stiff Clay
C-4	29.5448°	-98.2626°	685.3	20.0	665.3	9.0	676.3	Fill, Clayey Gravel, Stiff Clay, Clayey Shale
C-5	29.5453°	-98.2615°	681.5	20.0	661.5	Dry	----------	Sandy Gravel, Stiff Clay, Clayey Shale
C-6	29.5458°	-98.2605°	690.5	25.0	665.60	15.5	675.1	Fill, Clayey Gravel, Stiff Clay, Clayey Shale
C-7	29.5462°	-98.2595°	687.0	20.0	667.0	Dry	----------	Silty Sand, Silty Clay, Sandy Gravel, Stiff Clay, Clayey Shale

Boring Data References:

1. Snowden, Inc., Geotechnical Investigation, F.M. 78, Beck Ready Mix Job #5108, August-December, 1985.

2. Snowden, Inc., Geotechnical Investigation, F.M. 78, Beck Ready Mix Job #5108, September 1987.

BECK LANDFILL

Bore Hole Location & Data
Schertz, TX

POWER ENGINEERS

Appendix E-4

Page: **01**

Revision Date: **9/5/2023**

A **Figure 2.1** **A'**



B **Figure 2.2** **B'**



Geotechnical Data References:

1. *Snowden, Inc., Geotechnical Investigation, F.M. 78, Beck Ready Mix Job #5108, August-December, 1985.*
2. *Snowden, Inc., Geotechnical Investigation, F.M. 78, Beck Ready Mix Job #5108, September 1987.*
3. *Terracon, Inc., Geotechnical Geotechnical Data Report, Beck Landfill - Southeast Section, 550 FM 78 Schertz, Texas, October 20, 2020.*
4. *Unified Soil Classification System (USCS), ASTM D-2487, 2000.*

BECK LANDFILL

Lithologic Cross Sections
Schertz, TX

POWER ENGINEERS

Appendix E-4

Page: **02**

Revision Date: **9/5/2023**

C **Figure 3.1** **C’**



Geotechnical Data References:

1. *Snowden, Inc., Geotechnical Investigation, F.M. 78, Beck Ready Mix Job #5108, August-December, 1985.*
2. *Snowden, Inc., Geotechnical Investigation, F.M. 78, Beck Ready Mix Job #5108, September 1987.*
3. *Terracon, Inc., Geotechnical Geotechnical Data Report, Beck Landfill - Southeast Section, 550 FM 78 Schertz, Texas, October 20, 2020.*
4. *Unified Soil Classification System (USCS), ASTM D-2487, 2000.*

BECK LANDFILL

Lithologic Cross Sections
Schertz, TX

POWER ENGINEERS

Appendix E-4

Page: **03**

Revision Date: **9/5/2023**

BECK LANDFILL

BORING LOCATION MAP



Figure 4.1

Boring Location Data References:

1. Snowden, Inc., Geotechnical Investigation, F.M. 78, Beck Ready Mix Job #5108, August-December, 1985.

2. Snowden, Inc., Geotechnical Investigation, F.M. 78, Beck Ready Mix Job #5108, September 1987.

BORING DATA

Figure 4.2

Boring ID	Latitude (N)	Longitude (W)	Collar Elevation (ft.)	Total Depth (ft.)	TD Elevation (ft.)	Depth to Water (ft.)	Static Water Elevation (ft.)	Lithology (Youngest to Oldest)
D-1	29.5442°	-98.2663°	692.4	25.0	667.4	11.5	680.9	Silty Clay, Silty Gravel, Stiff Clay, Clayey Shale
D-2	29.5447°	-98.2652°	696.4	30.0	666.4	16.0	680.4	Clayey Gravel, Stiff Clay, Clay Shale
D-3	29.5453°	-98.2642°	692.3	20.0	672.3	12.9	679.4	Silty Clay, Silty Gravel, Stiff Clay
D-4	29.5458°	-98.2632°	704.1	35.0	669.1	28.1	676.0	Silty Clay, Sandy Gravel, Stiff Clay, Clayey Shale
D-5	29.5463°	-98.2641°	703.3	35.0	668.3	27.0	676.3	Silty Sand, Sandy Gravel, Stiff Clay, Clayey Shale
D-6	29.5468°	-98.2611°	699.8	35.0	664.0	24.0	675.8	Silty Clay, Silty Sand, Sandy Gravel, Stiff Clay, Clayey Shale
D-7	29.5473°	-98.2601°	695.0	15.0	680.0	Dry	-------	Silty Clay, Sandy-Clayey Gravel, Stiff Clay, Clayey Shale
E-1	29.5451°	-98.2673°	714.0	15.0	699.0	Dry	-------	Sandy Clay, Silty Gravel, Clayey Shale
E-2	29.5457°	-98.2658	702.6	30.0	672.6	22.0	680.6	Fill, Sandy Gravel, Stiff Clay, Clayey Shale
E-2.5	29.5459°	-98.2657°	734.0	15.0	719.0	Dry	--------	Fill, Sandy Gravel
E-4	29.5448°	-98.2626°	693.3	25.0	668.3	16.0	677.3	Fill, Stiff Clay, Clayey Shale
E-5	29.5453°	-98.2615°	703.3	35.0	668.3	27.0	-676.3	Silty Clay, Silty Sand, Sandy Gravel, Stiff Clay
E-6	29.5458°	-98.2605°	700.1	35.0	665.1	25.6	674.5	Silty Clay, Silty Gravel, Stiff Clay
E-7	29.5462°	-98.2595°	675.9	20.0	655.9	2.0	673.9	Sandy Gravel, Stiff Clay, Clayey Shale
G-0	29.5456°	-98.2685°	693.7	20.0	673.7	13.0	680.7	Sandy Clay, Sandy Gravel, Stiff Clay, Clayey Shale
G-1	29.5461°	-98.2675°	702.0	35.0	667.0	22.0	680.0	Silty Clay, Silty-Clayey Gravel, Silty Sand, Clayey Shale
G-2	29.5467°	-98.2664°	697.0	25.0	672.0	Dry	--------	Fill, Silty Gravel, Stiff Clay
G-4	29.55477°	-98.2643°	679.4	25.0	654.4	10.0	669.4	Silty-Clayey Gravel, Clayey Shale
G-5	29.5482°	-98.2634°	700.7	35.0	665.7	25.0	675.7	Silty Clay, Silty Sand, Clayey Shale
G-6	29.5487°	-98.2622°	692.7	30.0	662.2	17.0	675.7	Silty Clay, Clayey Gravel, Silty Sand, Stiff Clay, Clayey Shale
G-7	29.5492°	-98.2612°	677.3	20.0	657.3	3.0	674.3	Silty Sand, Clayey Gravel, Stiff Clay, Clayey Shale

Boring Data References:

1. Snowden, Inc., Geotechnical Investigation, F.M. 78, Beck Ready Mix Job #5108, August-December, 1985.

2. Snowden, Inc., Geotechnical Investigation, F.M. 78, Beck Ready Mix Job #5108, September 1987.

BECK LANDFILL

Bore Hole Location & Data

Schertz, TX

POWER ENGINEERS

Appendix E-4

Page: **04**

Revision Date: **9/5/2023**

Figure 5.1



Figure 5.2



Geotechnical Data References:

1. *Snowden, Inc., Geotechnical Investigation, F.M. 78, Beck Ready Mix Job #5108, August-December, 1985.*
2. *Snowden, Inc., Geotechnical Investigation, F.M. 78, Beck Ready Mix Job #5108, September 1987.*
3. *Terracon, Inc., Geotechnical Geotechnical Data Report, Beck Landfill - Southeast Section, 550 FM 78 Schertz, Texas, October 20, 2020.*
4. *Unified Soil Classification System (USCS), ASTM D-2487, 2000.*

BECK LANDFILL

Lithologic Cross Sections
Schertz, TX

POWER ENGINEERS

Appendix E-4

Page: **05**

Revision Date: **9/5/2023**

G **Figure 6.1** **G'**



Geotechnical Data References:

1. *Snowden, Inc., Geotechnical Investigation, F.M. 78, Beck Ready Mix Job #5108, August-December, 1985.*
2. *Snowden, Inc., Geotechnical Investigation, F.M. 78, Beck Ready Mix Job #5108, September 1987.*
3. *Terracon, Inc., Geotechnical Geotechnical Data Report, Beck Landfill - Southeast Section, 550 FM 78 Schertz, Texas, October 20, 2020.*
4. *Unified Soil Classification System (USCS), ASTM D-2487, 2000.*

BECK LANDFILL

Lithologic Cross Sections
Schertz, TX

POWER ENGINEERS

Appendix E-4

Page: **06**

Revision Date: **9/5/2023**

BECK LANDFILL

BORING LOCATION MAP



Figure 7.1

Boring Location Data References:

1. *Snowden, Inc., Geotechnical Investigation, F.M. 78, Beck Ready Mix Job #5108, August-December, 1985.*
2. *Snowden, Inc., Geotechnical Investigation, F.M. 78, Beck Ready Mix Job #5108, September 1987.*

BORING DATA

Figure 7.2

Boring ID	Latitude (N)	Longitude (W)	Collar Elevation (ft.)	Total Depth (ft.)	TD Elevation (ft.)	Depth to Water (ft.)	Static Water Elevation (ft.)	Lithology (Youngest to Oldest)
H-0	29.5466°	-98.2691°	691.0	20.0	671.0	8.3	682.7	Silty Clay, Silty Gravel, Stiff Clay, Clayey Shale
H-1	29.5471°	-98.2680°	706.0	30.0	676.0	Dry	-------	Clayey Gravel, Stiff Clay, Clay Shale
H-2	29.5453°	-98.2642°	705.9	30.0	675.9	Dry	-------	Silty Clay, Silty Gravel, Stiff Clay
H-3	29.5458°	-98.2632°	703.1	30.0	673.1	23.0	680.1	Silty Clay, Sandy Gravel, Stiff Clay, Clayey Shale
H-4	29.5463°	-98.2641°	704.9	35.0	669.9	25.9	679.0	Silty Sand, Sandy Gravel, Stiff Clay, Clayey Shale
H-5	29.5468°	-98.2611°	700.0	35.0	665.0	25.5	674.5	Silty Clay, Silty Sand, Sandy Gravel, Stiff Clay, Clayey Shale
H-6	29.5473°	-98.2601°	698.0	35.0	663.0	21.0	677.0	Silty Clay, Sandy-Clayey Gravel, Stiff Clay, Clayey Shale
H-7	29.5451°	-98.2673°	679.2	20.0	659.2	7.0	672.2	Sandy Clay, Silty Gravel, Clayey Shale
K-4	29.5457°	-98.2658	695.3	30.0	665.3	13.0	682.3	Fill, Sandy Gravel, Stiff Clay, Clayey Shale
K-5	29.5459°	-98.2657°	692.4	30.0	662.4	19.0	673.4	Fill, Sandy Gravel
K-6	29.5448°	-98.2626°	690.2	30.0	660.2	16.0	674.2	Fill, Stiff Clay, Clayey Shale
K-7	29.5453°	-98.2615°	687.5	30.0	657.5	17.0	670.5	Silty Clay, Silty Sand, Sandy Gravel, Stiff Clay
B-3	29.5433°	98.2630°	687.3	20.0	667.3	7.0	380.4	Silty Clay, Stiff Clay, Clayey Shale
D-4	29.5458°	-98.2632°	704.1	35.0	669.1	28.14	676.0	Silty Clay, Sandy Gravel, Stiff Clay, Clayey Shale
G-5	29.5482°	-98.2634°	700.7	35.0	665.7	25.0	675.7	Silty Clay, Clayey Gravel, Silty Sand, Stiff Clay, Clayey Shale
J-6	29.5506°	-98.2634°	693.3	30.0	663.3	20.0	673.3	Silty Clay, Sandy Gravel, Clayey Shale
M-7	29.5530°	-98.2635°	685.7	30.0	655.7	16.0	669.7	Silty Clay, Sandy Gravel, Stiff Clay, Clayey Shale

Boring Data References:

1. *Snowden, Inc., Geotechnical Investigation, F.M. 78, Beck Ready Mix Job #5108, August-December, 1985.*
2. *Snowden, Inc., Geotechnical Investigation, F.M. 78, Beck Ready Mix Job #5108, September 1987.*

BECK LANDFILL

Bore Hole Location & Data
Schertz, TX

POWER ENGINEERS

Appendix E-4

Page: **07**

Revision Date: **9/5/2023**

H Figure 8.1



K Figure 8.2



Geotechnical Data References:

1. *Snowden, Inc., Geotechnical Investigation, F.M. 78, Beck Ready Mix Job #5108, August-December, 1985.*
2. *Snowden, Inc., Geotechnical Investigation, F.M. 78, Beck Ready Mix Job #5108, September 1987.*
3. *Terracon, Inc., Geotechnical Geotechnical Data Report, Beck Landfill - Southeast Section, 550 FM 78 Schertz, Texas, October 20, 2020.*
4. *Unified Soil Classification System (USCS), ASTM D-2487, 2000.*

BECK LANDFILL

Lithologic Cross Sections
Schertz, TX

POWER ENGINEERS

Appendix E-4

Page: **08**

Revision Date: **9/5/2023**

South **Figure 9.1** **North**



Geotechnical Data References:

1. *Snowden, Inc., Geotechnical Investigation, F.M. 78, Beck Ready Mix Job #5108, August-December, 1985.*
2. *Snowden, Inc., Geotechnical Investigation, F.M. 78, Beck Ready Mix Job #5108, September 1987.*
3. *Terracon, Inc., Geotechnical Geotechnical Data Report, Beck Landfill - Southeast Section, 550 FM 78 Schertz, Texas, October 20, 2020.*
4. *Unified Soil Classification System (USCS), ASTM D-2487, 2000.*

BECK LANDFILL

tLithologic Cross Sections
Schertz, TX

POWER ENGINEERS

Appendix E-4

Page: **09**

Revision Date: **9/5/2023**

BECK LANDFILL

BORING LOCATION MAP

Figure 10.1

BORING DATA

Figure 10.2

Boring ID	Latitude (N)	Longitude (W)	Collar Elevation (ft.)	Total Depth (ft.)	TD Elevation (ft.)	Depth to Water (ft.)	Lithology (Youngest to Oldest)
FB-1	29.5437°	-98.2628°	708.0	45.0	663.0	No Water	Fill, Clayey Gravel, Lean Clay, Clay-Shale
FB-2	29.5431°	-98.2615°	710.0	45.0	665.0	No Water	Fill, Fat Clay, Clay-Shale
FB-3	29.5425°	-98.2602°	703.0	50.0	653.0	38.0	Fill, Lean Clay, Clayey Gravel, Fat Clay, Clay-Shale
FB-4	29.5453°	-98.261°	693.0	35.0	658.0	No Water	Clay-Shale
FB-5	29.5446°	-98.26°	656.0	35.0	621.0	No Water	Clay Shale
FB-6	29.5443°	-98.2597°	685.0	35.0	650.0	No Water	Clay-Shale
FB-7	29.5437°	-98.2613°	682.0	50.0	632.0	12.0	Fill, Clay-Shale
FB-8	29.5441°	-98.2608°	686.0	50.0	636.0	No Water	Fat Clay, Clay-Shale

Bore Hole Location

Cross Section A-A'

Cross Section B-B'

Cross Section C-C'

Boring Log References:

1. Terracon, Inc., Geotechnical Geotechnical Data Report, Beck Landfill - Southeast Section, 550 FM 78 Schertz, Texas, October 20, 2020.

2. Unified Soil Classification System (USCS), ASTM D-2487, 2000.

BECK LANDFILL

2020 Bore Hole Locations & Data

Schertz, TX

POWER ENGINEERS

Appendix E-4

Page: **10**

Revision Date: **9/5/2023**

A Figure 11.1



B Figure 11.2



C Figure 11.3



Boring Log References:

1. *Terracon, Inc., Geotechnical Geotechnical Data Report, Beck Landfill - Southeast Section, 550 FM 78 Schertz, Texas, October 20, 2020.*
2. *Unified Soil Classification System (USCS), ASTM D-2487, 2000.*

BECK LANDFILL

2020 Lithologic Cross Sections
Schertz, TX

POWER ENGINEERS

Appendix E-4

Page: **11**

Revision Date: **9/5/2023**

FENCE DIAGRAM

View Looking Northeast



Figure 12.1

FL	Fill	SP	Sand (silty, gravel)
CL	Clay (silty, lean)	GC	Gravel (silt, sand, clay)
CH	Clay (fat, stiff)	SH	Shale (Navarro Group)

Boring Log References:

1. *Terracon, Inc., Geotechnical Geotechnical Data Report, Beck Landfill - Southeast Section, 550 FM 78 Schertz, Texas, October 20, 2020.*
2. *Unified Soil Classification System (USCS), ASTM D-2487, 2000.*

BECK LANDFILL

2020 Fence Diagram
Schertz, TX

POWER ENGINEERS

Appendix E-4

Page: **12**

Revision Date: **9/5/2023**